1	
2	CABINET FOR HEALTH AND FAMILY SERVICES
3	ADVISORY COUNCIL FOR MEDICAL ASSISTANCE
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Via Videoconference July 25, 2024
13	Commencing at 9:32 a.m.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Shana W. Spencer, RPR, CRR Court Reporter
22	Court Reporter
23	
24	
25	
	1

1	APPEARANCES
2	ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERS:
3	Sheila Schuster - Chair Nina Eisner
4	Susan Stewart (not present) Dr. Jerry Roberts
5	Dr. Garth Bobrowski - Co-chair Dr. Steve Compton
6	Heather Smith Dr. John Muller (not present)
7	Dr. Ashima Gupta (not present) John Dadds (not present)
8	Dr. Catherine Hanna Barry Martin
9	Kent Gilbert Mackenzie Wallace
10	Annissa Franklin (not present) Beth Partin
11	Bryan Proctor (not present) Peggy Roark
12	Eric Wright (not present)
13	COMMISSIONER:
14	Lisa Lee, Department for Medicaid Services
15	213d 200, Dopar emone for neuroura convices
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. All right.
3	We have quite a long agenda today, so let's
4	go on and call the meeting together. As the
5	stewardess says when you're getting ready to
6	take off, I hope you're on the right flight.
7	This is the Medicaid Advisory Council
8	meeting of July 25th, and we'll call it
9	order. I'm Sheila Schuster, your erstwhile
10	chair. And Mackenzie Wallace, our secretary,
11	will call the roll.
12	MS. WALLACE: All right. Elizabeth
13	Partin?
14	(No response.)
15	MS. WALLACE: Nina, I know that
16	you're here.
17	Susan Stewart?
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: She had told me
19	she couldn't be here.
20	MS. WALLACE: Dr. Jerry Roberts?
21	DR. ROBERTS: I'm here.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Great.
23	MS. WALLACE: Heather Smith?
24	MS. SMITH: Here.
25	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Good.
	2

1	MS. WALLACE: Dr. Bobrowski?
2	DR. BOBROWSKI: Here.
3	MS. WALLACE: Dr. Steve Compton?
4	DR. COMPTON: Here.
5	MS. WALLACE: Dr. John Muller?
6	(No response.)
7	MS. WALLACE: Dr. Gupta?
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: She's also
9	she's traveling.
10	MS. WALLACE: John Dadds?
11	(No response.)
12	MS. WALLACE: I see Heather Smith
13	on here, so I'm going to take a check. She
14	just didn't answer.
15	Dr. Hanna?
16	DR. HANNA: Here.
17	MS. WALLACE: Barry Martin?
18	MR. MARTIN: Here.
19	MS. WALLACE: Kent Gilbert?
20	MR. GILBERT: Here.
21	MS. WALLACE: Mackenzie Wallace, I
22	am here.
23	And Ms. Franklin?
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: She's also out of
25	town.
	4

1	MS. WALLACE: Sheila, you are here.
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I am.
3	MS. WALLACE: Bryan Proctor?
4	(No response.)
5	MS. WALLACE: Peggy Roark?
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: She was going to
7	be late but, I think, will be joining us in a
8	bit.
9	MS. WALLACE: Okay.
10	Eric Wright?
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And he's gone
12	today.
13	MS. WALLACE: Okay.
14	And Commissioner Lee?
15	COMMISSIONER LEE: I am here.
16	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I count nine.
17	MS. WALLACE: Five, six, seven,
18	eight, nine. Yes.
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And I think we
20	need ten, don't we, Erin, for a quorum?
21	MS. BICKERS: I'm trying to recount
22	because I have ten. Give me one second.
23	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Oh, okay. We'll
24	take your number.
25	MS. BICKERS: Well, let me run
	5

1	through real quick. I have Sheila, Nina,
2	Jerry, Heather, Garth, Steve, Catherine,
3	Barry, Kent, Mackenzie; right? Is that not
4	ten?
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Oh, that's ten.
6	Yes. I had not I had not
7	MS. WALLACE: I must have missed
8	Dr. Bobrowski. My apologies.
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Wonderful.
10	MS. WALLACE: So that is ten.
11	COMMISSIONER LEE: Or, Mackenzie,
12	maybe you didn't count yourself.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, I was going
14	to say, I didn't count myself, so that's
15	where it was. And I I'm pretty sure that
16	Beth Partin is going to be on because she
17	would have let me know if she was going to
18	miss. So we might look for people who are
19	coming in late. But since we
20	MS. WALLACE: That's ten so
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Great.
22	Thank you, Mackenzie.
23	MS. WALLACE: Yes, ma'am.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: So since we have a
25	quorum, we actually have two sets of minutes
	6

1	to approve. So let's go back to the minutes
2	of March 28th. Can you all remember back to
3	March 28th, I hope? We did not have a quorum
4	in May, and so we were not able to approve
5	those minutes.
6	So I would entertain a motion to approve
7	the minutes of March 28th, please.
8	DR. BOBROWSKI: So moved.
9	DR. HANNA: Second.
10	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you. And
11	second is?
12	DR. HANNA: Cathy Hanna.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Cathy, thank you
14	very much.
15	Any additions, corrections, omissions,
16	revisions?
17	(No response.)
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right. All
19	those in favor of approving the minutes,
20	signify by saying aye.
21	(Aye.)
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And opposed, like
23	sign?
24	(No response.)
25	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you. The
	7

1	minutes are approved.
2	So let's go to our more recent meeting,
3	which was May 23rd, which we may remember
4	better. And I'll, again, entertain a motion
5	to approve the minutes of May 23rd.
6	MR. GILBERT: So moved.
7	MR. MARTIN: I'll second it. This
8	is Barry.
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Kent and Barry.
10	Thank you very much.
11	Any additions, corrections, omissions,
12	revisions?
13	(No response.)
14	CHAIR SCHUSTER: If not, I'll
15	entertain a motion I mean, a vote to
16	approve the minutes of May 23rd. All in
17	favor, signify by saying aye.
18	(Aye.)
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And opposed, like
20	sign?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Great. Thank you
23	very much.
24	Commissioner Lee, welcome. Our
25	perennial old business question is: What is
	Ω

1	the status of Anthem MCO?
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: And that is
3	still under litigation, so nothing to report
4	at this time. Nothing new.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. And we
6	don't have any idea I think I keep asking
7	you this every other meeting.
8	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. I think
9	the next potential date that we may hear
10	something, I want to say, is August 22nd but
11	don't hold me to that. Yeah.
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER LEE: I've heard that
14	was yeah, that is correct. August 22nd.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right. So it
16	may be that in September, we would have an
17	update from you. Thank you very much.
18	The next item is something that we've
19	talked about here at the MAC and is of great
20	interest, of course, to the providers. And
21	that is language access.
22	And I'm sorry that Dr. Gupta had an
23	already-planned family vacation this week.
24	But what do you have to report to us,
25	Commissioner, on language access resources
	9

1	for providers?
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: So we have been
3	looking into this, and it's like anything
4	else. The deeper you dig, the more you find.
5	But I think that we we are looking at
6	you know, currently, we have six MCOs with
7	six different language access lines and, in
8	addition, fee-for-service has one.
9	So we're looking at having one telephone
10	number that providers can call when they need
11	assistance with language access. And we
12	think that that's going to work, for the most
13	part. We still have a little bit of
14	conversations to have and planning to do.
15	The one thing that we are thinking
16	about, too and I don't know how this would
17	work and maybe, you know, needs some input
18	from our MAC, is, you know, having somebody
19	come into your office for a sick visit is one
20	thing and, you know, calling the language
21	line and having that interpretation.
22	But, you know, what happens when an
23	individual is actually having, let's say,
24	physical therapy, for example, or speech
25	therapy? How does how does that language

1	access line how would that work with
2	actual interaction with that member?
3	So that's one aspect that we really need
4	to think about. But I think as far as just a
5	member going into an office and the provider
6	needing to call to get some assistance with
7	language, I think that, you know, we'll be
8	able to streamline that to one number but
9	need to kind of continually think about how
10	we improve that service for individuals who
11	are entering offices for, you know, like I
12	said, extended periods of time maybe for
13	for additional services.
14	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
15	And let me welcome I think Beth has
16	joined us, Mackenzie. She sent me a text.
17	So welcome, Dr. Partin.
18	So it sounds like your question is if
19	it's a patient who comes in for what would be
20	a fairly short duration visit, you're
21	thinking about it in terms of kind of
22	time-in-the-office question.
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, yeah. And
24	not so much time in the office as it is what
25	happens if they're you know, how does that
	11

1	work with you have to have actual interaction
2	with that with that member providing
3	instructions? And I'm not a clinician, so
4	forgive me for but how interactions
5	with that member giving instructions on how
6	to actually perform a task.
7	You know, I just don't under I don't
8	know how that would work but just kind of
9	just kind of thinking through that. But I
10	think to get us started, if we have that one
11	number, that that's going to help a little
12	bit.
13	I think Dr. Partin has her hand up and
14	so does Kent.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. I'm sorry.
16	Yeah. Beth, please.
17	DR. PARTIN: I would say that it's
18	not any different from any other type of
19	visit. If you're coming in for an acute care
20	visit or a chronic visit, if you need an
21	interpreter, you're going to need an
22	interpreter for instructions. Regardless of
23	what you're doing, you're going to need
24	instructions.
25	For instance, you know, if it's an acute
	12

1	illness, telling the patient what you're
2	doing. Well, you're doing the exam and then
3	giving them what the diagnosis is and then
4	giving them instructions or education
5	depending on what it is that they need.
6	So I don't see that any different than
7	any other visit except that probably physical
8	therapy or speech therapy would be a longer
9	visit than, you know, an acute care or
10	chronic 15- or 20-minute visit. But I don't
11	see I don't see those any different.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: All right.
13	Thank you. Good to know that.
14	And I think Kent had his hand
15	MR. GILBERT: My comments were
16	going to be substantially the same. My wife
17	works with language at UK Hospital, and often
18	there are, you know, lengthy therapy sessions
19	that require, you know, long periods of
20	silence on the part of the interpreter and
21	then instructions and questions and then some
22	silence while that activity takes place. But
23	that's relatively de rigueur.
24	COMMISSIONER LEE: Very good to
25	know, and we should have an update at the
	13

1	next at the next MAC on that. And if we
2	get anything sooner, we could probably
3	document something in writing and send it
4	out.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. That would
6	be great. So it sounds like we're moving
7	toward a single number as opposed to the
8	provider having to kind of sort through and
9	find the number for that particular MCO and
10	so forth. So that that sounds fabulous to
11	me.
12	Any other questions for the commissioner
13	on that?
14	MS. EISNER: This is Nina. Just a
15	comment. I did turn my computer on and off,
16	and I'm still not getting video.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
18	MS. EISNER: You know, another
19	exception, obviously, is when someone is in a
20	behavioral health facility, and our need to
21	provide language assistance will be eight to
22	ten hours for the entire therapy day. And
23	hospitals do have contracts to ensure that
24	that happens.
25	Although the one you know, the one
	14

1	number will be helpful during the assessment
2	process, once they're in the hospital, the
3	hospital has other responsibilities. So just
4	a comment.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, that's a
6	really good point. In fact, I was thinking
7	about behavioral health because, you know,
8	the typical therapy session outpatient would
9	be, you know, the traditional 50-minute, hour
10	or so. But my understanding from providers
11	is that they have either an in-person
12	interpreter there, or they have an
13	interpreter on the line during the course of
14	that interaction because, obviously, it's an
15	ongoing interaction.
16	But you're saying, Nina, that during the
17	eight-hour day that they're in various
18	therapies at the hospital, you all have a
19	contract with providers to cover that.
20	MS. EISNER: Yes. And it could go
21	up to 12 hours because
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
23	MS. EISNER: meals, for example,
24	are an important time for interaction. So
25	it's really usually more like 10 to 12 hours
	15

1	depending on the age of the patient.
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. So the
3	single line might be helpful because I guess
4	you get walk-ins for one thing, don't you?
5	MS. EISNER: Yes. Yes. And we
6	have arrangements for that in the hospitals
7	as well. But for sometimes there's
8	delays, so a single-access line will still be
9	helpful during that walk-in period; for
10	example, the evaluation. But beyond that,
11	the hospital has the responsibility for
12	contracting with others in person typically.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Very
14	helpful.
15	MS. EISNER: Thank you. Thank you.
16	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you.
17	Anyone else have a comment or an example
18	or a question?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right. Well,
21	I will pass along this good news to Dr. Gupta
22	who's the one who's brought this up and kept
23	it alive. And we do appreciate,
24	Commissioner Medicaid looking at that and
25	looking at making a single-access line
	16

1	available. So we'll keep that on the agenda
2	and hopefully have a final answer from you
3	DR. ROBERTS: Actually, something
4	just occurred to me.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes.
6	DR. ROBERTS: What about when a
7	non-English-speaking individual calls in with
8	questions or calls in to make an appointment?
9	COMMISSIONER LEE: I believe you
10	can still use that
11	DR. ROBERTS: There's a
12	three-way
13	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah.
14	DR. ROBERTS: We can arrange a
15	three-way call and still utilize that
16	service?
17	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.
18	DR. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: It's my
20	understanding, but we'll definitely clarify
21	that.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Great
23	question, Jerry. Thank you.
24	And, Commissioner, if you have something
25	to report to us or something gets settled
	17

1	before September, you'll let us know, and
2	we'll get the good word out.
3	COMMISSIONER LEE: Absolutely.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you.
5	The other old business item was back to
6	the legally responsible individuals in
7	Medicaid waivers, and Eric Wright wanted this
8	to be on just as a kind of update. I don't
9	know he was going to send me if he had any
10	specific questions, and he didn't do that.
11	So I don't know if there's any update from
12	our meeting two months ago.
13	COMMISSIONER LEE: I don't have an
14	update at this time. But we definitely can
15	get if we have a specific question, get
16	something and respond in writing.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. And I'll
18	get back with him. He typically is good
19	about that. I think he just forgot to send
20	me anything.
21	So under kind of new business, this next
22	item, I think, is going to be the focus of a
23	good deal of work on the part of the MAC and
24	communications with DMS because CMS has
25	finalized their rules and is telling us what

1	we need to do. We talked about this a little
2	bit at the last meeting. There are changes
3	that have to be made in statute to the way
4	our MAC is set up and then we have to
5	establish the new Beneficiary Advisory
6	Council which, I guess, will be called the
7	BAC.
8	So I'll hand it over to you,
9	Commissioner, if you're going to make that
10	report for us.
11	COMMISSIONER LEE: Sure. Thanks,
12	Dr. Schuster. So as Dr. Schuster said, CMS
13	did finalize rules relating to several
14	things. There are three major rules, you
15	know, and it covers basically has three
16	prongs. It covers enrollment in coverage,
17	maintenance of coverage, and access to
18	services. Also has some quality parameters.
19	There's some language about or some rules
20	related to directed payments.
21	But as far as the Medical Care Advisory
22	Committee is concerned, CMS proposed several
23	changes to the Medical Care Advisory
24	Committees, or MACs. And they which
25	haven't been updated in over 40 years. So
	19

1	first of all, they propose to require both a
2	Medicaid Advisory Committee, which is a MAC,
3	and they proposed or they finalized a new
4	Beneficiary Advisory Group, which is a BAC or
5	BAG. These changes, you know, would be
6	effective 60 days post-publication with a
7	one-year compliance timeline.
8	So yesterday Erin sent out a whole
9	it's a spreadsheet or a listing of all of the
10	changes in these final rules with compliance
11	dates on that. And you'll see that in
12	January of 2025, we have to be compliant with
13	the new rules related to the Medicaid
14	Advisory Committee and that the individuals
15	that we choose for the BAC have to have lived
16	experience.
17	And so, for example, at least going
18	forward, at least 25 percent of those BAC
19	members would also have to serve on our MAC.
20	Now, those compliance dates, I think, are up
21	into '27 with that full compliance of those
22	25 percent of the BAC members being on the
23	MAC.
24	It also the MAC also has to include
25	state or local advocacy groups, clinical

1 providers, which, you know, we do have that 2 right now, or administrators. 3 Managed care plans. That would be a new We would have to have someone from 4 5 managed care plans or plan association on the MAC and some other state agencies serving 6 7 Medicaid beneficiaries as ex officio members. 8 We are, in the department, working --9 the other thing that it does require, that 10 those members be appointed by the Medicaid director rather than the governor. You know, 11 12 we do have a statute right now that outlines 13 how MAC members are appointed, and so we would definitely have to withdraw that or 14 15 make amendments to that statute and create 16 another one. So we are still in the 17 development phase of that. 18 And as you can see from the document, if 19 you have received it yet, the document that 20 was provided to the MACs and the TACs with 21 all of the criteria, all of the policies that 22 we have to be in compliance with over the 23 next several years. There's a lot going on. 24 So we have -- we are going to be 25 bringing, you know, someone on board to focus 21

1	solely on our final rules and make sure that
2	we're in compliance with implementing those.
3	And that does include our new Beneficiary
4	Advisory Group, or council, and our new MAC
5	format.
6	So as soon as we get more information
7	you know, we're developing some information
8	right now. And as soon as we bring somebody
9	on board and have more, we'll be able to
10	provide information. So I'm thinking this
11	will be an ongoing agenda on the MAC as we go
12	forward.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Erin, do
14	you have could you possibly share your
15	screen and just show that document, so people
16	recognize it?
17	MS. BICKERS: Yes, ma'am. Give me
18	just a moment.
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you very
20	much because
21	COMMISSIONER LEE: And I think it's
22	very important for the MACs and the TACs to
23	kind of look at that. And this document was
24	created by the National Association of
25	Medicaid Directors to help all of all of
	22

1	the directors across the nation stay in
2	compliance and make plan as they go forward.
3	So I think it's really good for y'all to
4	familiarize yourself with everything that's
5	in that document to see how it may impact
6	your particular area.
7	For example, there is a lot of home and
8	community based. You can see the HCB there,
9	some of the things that we have to do.
10	Medicaid Advisory Committee and Beneficiary
11	Advisory Council is up at top. So you can
12	see there, yeah, the dates that we have to be
13	in compliance with everything.
14	There's access to care and service
15	payments rates. Some of our directed
16	payments will be impacted. And it's just the
17	way that we handle those payments. And
18	there's access to care. You know, some of
19	the stuff that we are already doing but we
20	will definitely have to make sure that
21	that we stay in compliance with those
22	state anything that's in this final rule.
23	And this is just a real quick snapshot
24	of what's in that rule and what we have to
25	do. Of course, the final rule is over 1,000

1 pages long, has a lot more detail. But this will keep us on line. 2 3 And here, again, some of the quality measures that you can see we'll have to be 4 5 reporting on. And that's -- the other thing is the final rule requires a lot -- a lot of 6 7 reporting by the Medicaid agency. 8 Some -- for example, it related to our 9 fee schedules. We'll have to post -- and all 10 our fee schedules are already currently 11 online, but we will have to have our fee 12 schedule online. And we will also have to have a comparison of our fee schedule with 13 14 what Medicare pays. We have to update that 15 every two years. 16 So those are just some of the things 17 that we have to do. But the reporting --18 lots and lots of reporting that we have to 19 And, of course, it's all in the spirit 20 of transparency. 21 So I would definitely encourage the MAC 22 members and the TAC members to familiarize 23 yourself with some of those provisions in the 24 final rule and if there's anything that we 25 need to talk about in depth as we go forward.

1	I'm sure that, you know, as we move
2	forward on the updates, a lot of this will be
3	particularly especially when we get
4	someone on board to help us make sure that
5	we're in compliance and to have a project
6	work plan, we'll be definitely reporting out
7	the progress on implementing all of these new
8	rules.
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. And I
10	think, Erin, that you sent that out just a
11	couple of days ago.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: I think it may
13	have been yesterday even, so I know y'all
14	haven't had time to look at it.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
16	COMMISSIONER LEE: But just want
17	y'all to know that it's out there and
18	something that, you know, definitely
19	familiarize yourself with.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
21	MR. GILBERT: Commissioner Lee,
22	this is Kent Gilbert. Will this when we
23	create the Beneficiary the BAC, will
24	they will that be members in addition to
25	the current MAC, or will there need to be a
	25

1	reshuffling of membership at that time?
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: Well, we're not
3	real sure, but we do think that there will
4	need to be a shuffling of membership.
5	MR. GILBERT: Yeah.
6	COMMISSIONER LEE: And there will
7	be term limits as outlined in the new rule.
8	But we definitely are going to be focusing a
9	lot on our Beneficiary Advisory Group because
10	they do have to we do have to have
11	individuals with lived experience or
12	individuals who live with them and represent
13	or take care of those individuals.
14	So that that's going to be one of our
15	main focus on how we how we get that and
16	how to best tap into some of those
17	individuals who have that lived experience
18	and are very critical
19	MR. GILBERT: Right.
20	COMMISSIONER LEE: into making
21	policies as we go forward.
22	MR. GILBERT: And how will one
23	other question. I know that we've had
24	conversation about how best to better create
25	portals to the legislative process in terms
	26

1	of either a legislator observer or
2	legislative members participating in the MAC.
3	Do you have a sense of how this might affect,
4	either positively or negatively, that
5	process?
6	COMMISSIONER LEE: I do not at this
7	point. I don't think that the legislation
8	calls for legislators to be on the Medicaid
9	Advisory Committee but definitely something
10	they may be interested in as we move forward.
11	MR. GILBERT: I think that
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: So the final
13	MR. GILBERT: there's an
14	opportunity there, yeah.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. The final
16	rule does not require legislators to sit on
17	the MAC?
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: I'd have to
19	double-check, but I don't think it does.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Okay.
21	MR. GILBERT: No. I know that
22	and I'm not sure that that's that was the
23	substance of our conversations previously,
24	but we have had conversations about how to
25	get better lived experience into the realm of
	27

1	the legislative decision-making process,
2	which we think has become somewhat divorced
3	from that. And I think this may present
4	if there's some way that we can get a conduit
5	at least established as we reshuffle, I think
6	that's an opportunity that might benefit us
7	all.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Yeah. I
9	think that's why we had talked about it
10	originally.
11	Garth, you had a question. Thank you,
12	Kent.
13	DR. BOBROWSKI: Commissioner Lee,
14	good morning. I know, typically, Medicare
15	has never really paid for dental. Of course,
16	you've got these Medicare Advantage Plans,
17	but all that stuff is set up by insurance
18	companies.
19	But I was just going to and I know
20	you probably haven't got a solid answer on
21	this one yet, but just how will the plan be
22	to do those comparison charts on fees when
23	Medicare typically did not even cover dental?
24	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. In those
25	areas and I'll go back and double-check
	28

1 the final rule. It's been a while since I've read it. 2 I think there are specific areas 3 that we definitely have to compare Medicare. I'm not sure dental is one. And if there's 4 5 isn't a Medicare fee schedule, we would just have to notate that, that there's not on 6 7 there. 8 And, Garth, I'm glad you brought up the 9 Medicare Advantage Plans. You know, there is a rule related to Medicare Advantage Plans, 10 11 too, and to promote more transparency and 12 make it easier for individuals to choose one 13 of those plans. 14 I don't have information on that yet, 15 not able to speak intelligently about it 16 because I haven't read that final rule. But 17 that is something that will be coming out, 18 too, just making it easier for individuals to 19 be able to choose a plan and something -- you 20 know, I think that if -- that they need to be 21 more streamlined. 22 And there will be combining of some --23 of some Medicare Advantage Plans. 24 carrier, for example, will not be able to 25 offer four, five, or six different plans.

1 They have to streamline those, and there's 2 criteria around all that. 3 DR. BOBROWSKI: Okay. I know what we're -- we're coming up on the fact 4 5 that we're telling patients to "buyer beware." Because like you just said, these 6 7 different companies are coming up with 8 multiple plans, and they're taking -- the 9 customer is getting shammed. Because they 10 think they're buying some access to dental 11 care, and it may just be a cleaning-only 12 plan. 13 So I hope some transparency comes for 14 the people that are selling those plans, or 15 maybe there's -- and I don't know the 16 relationship that has to go between the state 17 in developing this and dealing with 18 individual private companies. I don't know 19 the dynamics of that yet, but we'll learn. 20 DR. ROBERTS: I don't want to get 21 off topic, but there was something in the 22 proposed final rule from last year that -- on 23 the broker side that would standardize 24 commissions for patients signing up for 25 Medicare Advantage Plans.

1	The historically, you know, let's say
2	Plan A would they would make a higher
3	commission. Plan B, they would make a lower
4	commission. So they steered them towards a
5	specific plan.
6	One of the things in the proposed rule
7	last year was to standardize commissions for
8	signing the patient up for Medicare Advantage
9	Plans, and hopefully the function of that is
10	for the brokers to act in the patient's best
11	interests, not theirs.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. And I
13	think that the whole the whole point of
14	some of these new final rules, particularly
15	around access, is to be very transparent.
16	And with the Medicare Advantage Plans, it's
17	the same thing, to promote transparency and
18	also coordination of benefits.
19	So if Medicaid, for example, in Kentucky
20	covers dental and somebody is also if
21	they're dual eligible, then they should know
22	what their Medicaid benefits are when they
23	sign up for a Medicare plan, a Medicare
24	Advantage.
25	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. There's
	31

1	lots and lots of questions there. I do think
2	that Kent raises a good question because
3	there are a number of us, myself included,
4	Kent and others, who are Mackenzie, who
5	are appointed to represent various groups of
6	Medicaid beneficiaries and do not necessarily
7	have the lived experience.
8	So we would not qualify probably to
9	serve on the BAC, and I think we'll have to
10	make a decision about how large the MAC is.
11	Because it sounds like the MAC could get
12	pretty large with adding MCOs and adding
13	now, some of us would probably switch over to
14	a different hat if they're looking for
15	representation of advocacy organizations.
16	You know, many of us are in that.
17	So I think the other thing and I
18	think we had a discussion about this, if not
19	at the last MAC meeting, the one before. And
20	I think, Erin, you did a little bit of work.
21	We kind of compiled
22	There are some of the TACs that have
23	required membership of people with lived
24	experience. The BH TAC is one. Obviously,
25	the Consumer TAC is another one. I think the
	32

1 IDD TAC is another one. It would be helpful, I think, as we look 2 3 at developing that BAC, Commissioner, to really look at what some of the barriers are 4 5 to getting people involved. You know, it's a big leap for a lot of people to move from 6 7 lived experience to serving on a purely 8 pretty bureaucratic, large -- with a lot of 9 focus on it. 10 And -- everything from transportation to 11 assistive technology for people that might 12 need that to really preparing people to serve 13 on those councils or committees, I think, is 14 really going to be something we need to look 15 at. 16 You mentioned a January 1st. 17 assuming that we're not out of compliance if 18 we're working on a piece of legislation in 19 the upcoming session; right? 20 COMMISSIONER LEE: That is correct. 21 We do have -- as you know, I'm part of the 22 executive team at the National Association of 23 Medicaid Directors, and we do have routine 24 calls, at least monthly, sometimes twice 25 monthly, with leadership at CMS including

1	Dan Tsai. And we talk through some of those.
2	You know, every state is different. For
3	example, I brought up Medicaid has a statute
4	that covers our Medicaid Advisory Council.
5	Our legislators don't meet until January, so
6	we will have to have time to come into
7	compliance. And they fully understand.
8	Every state is a little bit different
9	and that, you know, as long as we have that
10	plan and we're showing we're working towards
11	it, that they will be we will remain in
12	compliance with their guidelines.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. And do you
14	remember what the does the BAC need to be
15	up and running
16	COMMISSIONER LEE: I think we
17	just
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: by January 1st?
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: No. I don't
20	think
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: or just
22	COMMISSIONER LEE: No. It doesn't
23	have to be up and running by January 1st. We
24	have to have a plan in place by January 1st
25	to
	34

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. Because I
2	think the recruitment for membership is going
3	to be really critical.
4	COMMISSIONER LEE: And we have
5	we have discussed that with CMS, too, not
6	only recruitment but, you know,
7	maintaining how do we maintain them? What
8	services do we need to provide in order to
9	ensure participation? And the final rule
10	does have a lot of that stuff in there. They
11	have, you know, a lot of criteria, for
12	example.
13	All of the meetings have to be we
14	have to post all of the meetings online. We
15	have to have notes from the meetings. And at
16	the end of the year, we have to have a report
17	to CMS at the end of I think it's at the
18	end of 2025, or each year regarding all of
19	the meetings, everything that was said,
20	recommendations that were made, actions that
21	were taken.
22	But we will have to have an annual
23	report to CMS regarding the activities of the
24	MAC and BAC, which, you know, that's not a
25	bad thing. But, again

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: No. It's not a
2	bad thing but lots of reporting.
3	COMMISSIONER LEE: All of the
4	reporting, all of the and that's, you
5	know, in addition to the other reporting we
6	have to do with the HCBS programs, for
7	example, and the fee schedules.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Any other
9	questions from any of the MAC members about
10	the final rule; the new MAC, the new,
11	improved, expanded, whatever, MAC; and the
12	new BAC?
13	MR. GILBERT: MAC plus.
14	COMMISSIONER LEE: We're very
15	excited about it. I mean, it you know,
16	very excited. Definitely need to have our
17	members have a platform to tell us exactly
18	what their experience is and what would make
19	accessing services and receiving their health
20	care better as it relates to policies.
21	DR. BOBROWSKI: I think it should
22	be called the Big MAC.
23	COMMISSIONER LEE: Let's do that.
24	Let's do that. We'll name it the Big MAC.
25	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I like that.
	36

1	All right. Well, thank you very much,
2	Commissioner. And as I indicated to you
3	earlier, we certainly are interested here at
4	the MAC of being of help to you in any way
5	and certainly of whatever help we can be in
6	discussing some of this with legislators and,
7	you know, having it make sense. This is a
8	short session so, you know, it's got to move
9	quickly in a 30-day session. So thank you
10	for that.
11	An issue that we've been talking about
12	here at the MAC, and a number of the TACs
13	have also been talking about it, is improving
14	communications with potential beneficiaries
15	and possible waiver recipients. And I think,
16	Commissioner, there's a DMS workgroup on
17	this.
18	COMMISSIONER LEE: I think what I
19	want to do, Dr. Schuster I know we have
20	been doing some strategic planning
21	specifically around communications.
22	And I have Senior Deputy Commissioner
23	Veronica Judy-Cecil is going to talk about
24	our strategic planning. And I think David
25	Verry is also on the line, and he's going to

1	talk a little bit about some of the work that
2	the connectors have been doing.
3	So I'll turn it over to Veronica and
4	David at this time. Veronica?
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Great. Thank you.
6	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Hi. Good morning,
7	everyone. Veronica Judy-Cecil, Senior Deputy
8	Commissioner here at Medicaid.
9	We are embarking on strategic planning.
10	And for those of you who have gone through
11	that, then you can probably sympathize or
12	empathize with us. Those who have not, what
13	that means is we are really looking both
14	internally and externally and trying to
15	develop a plan, sort of our roadmap, on, you
16	know, where what we want to focus on and
17	how what are our goals, and how do we
18	reach those goals? What are the strategies
19	or, you know, different ways that we're going
20	to try to reach those goals?
21	And to do that, we are and part of
22	this really is also looking at our members,
23	our providers, and just kind of every
24	stakeholder that engages in the Medicaid
25	program from whatever, you know, point they

1	do that, to try to help us understand a
2	little bit more about that interaction and
3	inform, you know, the development of our
4	goals and strategies.
5	So we are embarking on strategic
6	planning. Emily Moses is our staffer that is
7	heading this up. Emily has her you know,
8	really has a lot to do here.
9	But one of the first things that we're
10	going to do is a stakeholder survey, and so
11	we just recently released this. We released
12	it back on the 16th of July, and we're going
13	to keep it open through August 16th. And
14	Emily is posting the link to it.
15	This is open to everybody. This is not
16	just MAC members or TAC members. Really,
17	everybody on this call today in some way,
18	shape, or form interacts with Medicaid, and
19	so we want to hear from you. And so we ask
20	that you fill out the survey. The more
21	people who fill it out, you know, the more
22	informed we are, and so we're really
23	encouraging it.
24	But, you know and we'll keep you guys
25	posted on our progress through strategic
	39

1	planning. You know, the Department has never
2	done this before, so we're really excited
3	about where this could lead us and, you know,
4	have us all on the same page. And just, you
5	know, a great way for us to communicate and
6	let folks know outside of the department what
7	we're doing, our mission, and our vision.
8	You know, we're really kind of updating all
9	of that.
10	So want to hear from everybody, and we
11	kind of felt like, you know, this really sort
12	of plays into communication. This is one of
13	our efforts to try to help communicate better
14	with those including our beneficiaries, our
15	members, about what's you know, how does
16	Medicaid impact them, and what can we do
17	differently.
18	Now, more specific to this line item, I
19	am going to turn it over to David because I
20	think he has some updates about the request
21	and what we've been trying to do.
22	MR. VERRY: Good morning,
23	everybody. David Verry, Director of DMS
24	Health Plan Oversight. In Kentucky, that
25	means Kynect, our state-based marketplace.

We call our navigators connectors.

There are also connectors who are certified application counselors. They work in hospital settings and some other facilities and that kind of thing. We kind of all put them under the umbrella of connectors.

And unlike the federal system and really unlike any other state in the union, our connectors carry a pretty heavy load in not only helping people with state-based marketplace, the Qualified Health Plans, the ACH plans, but helping in Medicaid.

And in Kentucky, because we're part of Medicaid, which is also rare but a wonderful partnership that we're actually part of, the department, they help people with all kinds of Medicaid, MAGI and non-MAGI. They can even get that application started for long-term care.

And we have provided kind of some point-the-way help, job aids and that kind of thing, on how they can help people who are seeking a waiver, which is -- which can be very complicated for both the person who is applying for the waiver and the person who is

1 assisting that individual or family.

Current day, we have some job aids that we have one-pagers that we have distributed to the connectors and to our licensed insurance agents who partner with us as well. That is also -- we're the only state that does that. And there are just these one-pagers that -- the same one-pagers that you would see on the DCBS sites, just a different way to get to them.

And we're planning soon on once -- our people in DMS are putting together a Waiver 101 for internal staff and others, but we're going to run that to our monthly all-hands connector meeting. We usually have several hundreds of them actually meet us with every month, and sometimes we have a specialized presentation on something just like this.

So that's what's kind of on the horizon.

And as we go through our QHP open enrollment,
we hold office hours. And that is always
kind of, like, open as far as what the topics
may be. And if everything else is running
smoothly, which we're planning on, we might
even be able to carve this education into

1	part of one of those office hours, our
2	virtual webinars as well.
3	I kind of said that all in one breath.
4	Apologies. Does anyone have any questions or
5	suggestions?
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you, David.
7	I was invited to talk to the Disparity and
8	Equity TAC just last week.
9	MR. VERRY: Oh, good.
10	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And the question
11	came up because we were the topic was
12	this improved communication. And someone
13	there, it may have been Leslie Hoffmann, was
14	on and said, you know, there are some
15	questions on the overall Medicaid application
16	that would lead one possibly to indicate that
17	there might be eligibility or a need for
18	waiver services and
19	MR. VERRY: Yeah.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I'm sorry.
21	MR. VERRY: Yeah. Absolutely.
22	That's how it works, especially on the
23	electronic application, but also on the
24	paper. If on the electronic application,
25	it's no wrong door. You just start filling
	43

1	it out, and the fully-integrated system will
2	then figure out where your best needs can be
3	served. If you answer certain questions
4	about your age or a disability, for example,
5	it'll then, all of a sudden, stop populating
6	resource questions because it knows that you
7	are a non-MAGI potentiality.
8	If you say that you live in a nursing
9	home or something like that, it may, all of a
10	sudden, load and start asking questions that
11	would be appropriate for long-term care. And
12	if you answer questions that show that you
13	might be appropriate for waiver, it at least
14	gets that going. The first step towards a
15	waiver application is a Medicaid application,
16	of course.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
18	MR. VERRY: So it's we're always
19	willing to take feedback as to how we can
20	improve this process. But it's pretty
21	remarkable, and it is indeed unique among the
22	50 states plus D.C.
23	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, the question
24	that came up
25	MR. VERRY: Yes, ma'am.
	44

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And I'm delighted
2	to hear that if somebody is doing that
3	initial application online, that the software
4	takes over and kind of takes you where you
5	need to go with more questions and so forth.
6	The discussion we got into was whether
7	the connectors themselves had the education
8	and training to know to follow up. And our
9	impression was that they did not necessarily
10	have that, that they may not have been
11	trained or not reminded in their training
12	about what to do with those initial questions
13	and what the appropriate follow-up questions
14	or direction might be.
15	And so I think there was some discussion
16	about that, and it sounds like, David, that
17	you're planning some education around that.
18	I just wonder about connectors that have been
19	out there for a while. You know, we always
20	have new ideas, and so new people coming in
21	to assist them always get better training
22	than the people that were at it years ago.
23	MR. VERRY: Oh, absolutely.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And so I'm just
25	curious because we actually had a connector
	45

1 on there who said that they did not get 2 essentially waiver training in their initial 3 education as a connector. So I guess you're 4 the right person for me to be asking this 5 question of. MR. VERRY: Oh, that's a very 6 7 honest question. And we're always looking 8 for good feedback, and sometimes good 9 feedback isn't positive. You know what I'm 10 saying. And that is a -- that's definitely a 11 delta and definitely a takeaway. 12 That's why we're trying to increase 13 awareness of what waiver is and how to apply 14 and kind of a step-by-step. We're really 15 looking forward to this Waiver 101 16 presentation that we'll get to do. That 17 invitation will go to all connectors existing 18 or newer. 19 And every Friday at 1:30 sharp, every 20 single connector gets a Friday Fax one-pager 21 from us, from my team and I. And we have 22 sent out, this is what a waiver is and the 23 fact sheets and if you have any questions, to 24 elicit feedback. And we look forward to more 25 formalized settings as well to make sure

1	everyone gets on board.
2	Sometimes when you talk to one
3	connector, you've talked to one connector.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. I'm sure
5	that's true.
6	MR. VERRY: However, if this one
7	connector says, I don't know anything about
8	waiver, do you know whose fault that is?
9	Mine. And we'll take that, and we'll take
10	that back and try to increase our campaign.
11	These are some of our most vulnerable
12	residents of the commonwealth. So if we have
13	to triple our efforts, we will.
14	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, I appreciate
15	that, and I don't share that in the spirit of
16	criticism at all.
17	MR. VERRY: No. It's thank you.
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: But because this
19	whole communication issue has come up with
20	people not understanding how to get into
21	Medicaid and then not understanding what the
22	waivers are there for, which is what
23	Commissioner Lee and I found in talking to
24	some families, particularly of children and
25	families that might not be eligible for
	47

1	Medicaid otherwise, so they're not thinking
2	Medicaid necessarily. And then they have a
3	child who's born with significant,
4	significant disabilities, and they're, you
5	know, suddenly in that space.
6	But I like the idea of your being aware.
7	And, you know, hopefully, the training also,
8	David, would remind connectors that those
9	questions on the application form may
10	indicate that follow-up needs to be done, you
11	know.
12	MR. VERRY: Oh, yeah. Absolutely,
13	especially the connectors who are not working
14	in a hospital setting.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
16	MR. VERRY: They become associated
17	with that person and follow them through the
18	course of whatever is going on with them.
19	We've found that if you have a connector or
20	an insurance agent, you're more likely to
21	stay insured, and you are also more likely to
22	actually go to your primary care physician
23	and make
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
25	MR. VERRY: other kind of
	48

1	things. It would be wonderful we're not
2	there yet, but it would be wonderful if
3	having a connector would make you more likely
4	to follow through all those steps that you
5	need to do for waiver or even long-term care.
6	They can't make the final decision, of
7	course.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
9	MR. VERRY: And there's a lot in
10	the application flow that they cannot do as
11	well. But to be an advocate for that person
12	and to help liaison with us and others so
13	they're getting through that process.
14	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, you know, I
15	think, universally, the connectors are seen
16	in very positive ways. I think it's one of
17	the unique things that Kentucky did early on,
18	and it really you know, I'm proud of the
19	fact that we have them and that we're one of
20	the few states that was smart enough to
21	create them early on.
22	And I do hear from people that go back
23	and check in with their connector when
24	something comes up. I mean, they become that
25	kind of go-to resource person, almost like

1	our CHWs.
2	MR. VERRY: Yeah, very similar.
3	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Or in the
4	behavioral health field, those peer support
5	specialists. You know, it's the person who's
6	knowledgeable that's reached out and made a
7	connection. And when you have a question or
8	you're in crisis or whatever comes up, you
9	tend to go back to those people.
10	So the connectors are great. I just
11	since they're accessible, I just want to be
12	sure that they've got that information about
13	the waiver so
14	MR. VERRY: Oh, absolutely. And I
15	appreciate you bringing that to our
16	attention.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Sure. Thank you.
17 18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Sure. Thank you.  MR. VERRY: And if anyone else
	· ·
18	MR. VERRY: And if anyone else
18 19	MR. VERRY: And if anyone else hears anything else that we can do to improve
18 19 20	MR. VERRY: And if anyone else hears anything else that we can do to improve what their capabilities are. They're always
18 19 20 21	MR. VERRY: And if anyone else hears anything else that we can do to improve what their capabilities are. They're always looking, too. Only state in the union that
18 19 20 21 22	MR. VERRY: And if anyone else hears anything else that we can do to improve what their capabilities are. They're always looking, too. Only state in the union that we have connectors taking SNAP and childcare
18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. VERRY: And if anyone else hears anything else that we can do to improve what their capabilities are. They're always looking, too. Only state in the union that we have connectors taking SNAP and childcare application as well now.

1	MR. VERRY: It's getting ridiculous
2	in a good way towards that no wrong door,
3	where you can go to one place and at least
4	get the process started. And so, yeah,
5	thank you. I really appreciate
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: No. Thank you for
7	being on and for
8	MR. VERRY: inviting me to come
9	here. And if you want to reach out to me,
10	davidverry.ky.gov, for anything else, follow
11	up.
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, thank you.
13	MR. VERRY: I'm always
14	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Let me see if
15	any I've monopolized your time. So let me
16	see if anybody else on the MAC has any
17	questions for either Deputy Commissioner
18	Senior Deputy Commissioner Veronica
19	Judy-Cecil that's a long title,
20	Veronica or to David Verry.
21	Any other questions around the DMS
22	strategic planning and connector education?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right. I have
25	been gathering some information from MAC
	51

members from TACs and others. And let me just share a couple of things, Commissioner and Veronica, as we kind of think about this. And some of this may be helpful in terms of the strategic planning as well.

So some of the ideas that have come up are the importance of working with schools to get the word out about Medicaid and the waivers. They have a captive audience. And, you know, they create great opportunities, in particularly, of open houses or back-to-school nights for parents and students. It's a great place to get the information out and just to ask some of the questions and, also, to meet with the PTAs because, obviously, the parent involvement there is very important.

Another category of people is to work with the faith community. So often our churches, you know, address some of these social determinants of health or the health-related social needs, and so they're very interested. And they hear, those pastors, ministers, and the people -- Kent would tell you that he probably knows the

1 health needs of many of his congregants. 2 So one of the ideas -- and we used to do 3 this in the behavioral health community -- is to meet with faith leaders and even give them 4 5 a little breakfast early one morning and, you know, provide some materials and so forth. 6 7 The other thing is to provide materials for 8 them to distribute at their church services 9 or synagogue or temple services. 10 And many of them have groups that focus 11 on youth or groups that focus on the elderly 12 or parenting groups, that kind of thing. it's another good way to get the information 13 14 out. 15 Obviously, we want to work with our 16 minority communities. And several people 17 have said, you know, the Latino community in 18 particular very often will have health fairs 19 or gatherings. We know in many communities 20 where the hub, if you will, of that community 21 is. 22 There are Spanish newspapers. There's 23 Spanish radio stations. Even some of the 24 local cable stations are Spanish-speaking, so 25 there are lots of opportunities there to get

1 the word out with our minority communities. And I think the same is true of our 2 3 black communities, particularly through, 4 again, the faith leaders. But, also, as 5 they're gathering about other issues, to be 6 sure that they've got -- and there are a lot 7 of health fairs that are conducted in 8 conjunction with those social service 9 agencies. Obviously, social media and media. 10 11 Facebook is still very popular, I'm told, 12 particularly, again, with the Latino 13 community. 14 The best outreach to rural communities 15 is the radio. They're much more likely to 16 have a radio as their source of news and 17 entertainment than television and perhaps 18 producing some 30-second spots. Articles and 19 ads in local community newspapers, which 20 typically are hungry for information. 21 sending in an article about a health fair or 22 that kind of thing or a new benefit that 23 Medicaid has can be helpful. 24 And then, apparently, the Kentucky 25 Broadcaster Association can be helpful in 54

terms of public service announcements. And I think there's some rules around that and so forth, but radio is certainly cheaper than television, we know. And there are, I think, some requirements for PSAs that some of those channels have.

Reaching out to any number of sister organizations or agencies. So the AD districts, certainly the area agencies on aging. Commission on Children With Special Health Care Needs. Your local United Ways, AARP, and the retired service volunteers.

And then local hospitals. I was interested that one of the people attending one of the TAC meetings -- I think it was the Disparity TAC -- talked about working at a hospital, particularly around pediatric issues, and finding that there were a lot of people that were not familiar with the waivers, for one thing, and didn't have a good source for putting materials out just for people coming through. And we know that a lot of people are in and out of hospitals. I would think around maternal health, would be the other place that hospitals could be

really helpful.

And then we -- there was some discussion about the screening questions on the waivers and the training for the connectors. And I don't know if Peggy Roark is on, but she and I had a long discussion about this. And she felt strongly that getting -- making sure that providers have that information in their offices. And, you know, I think it's an ongoing issue to keep things like that fresh and, you know, easy to read and maybe available in at least English and Spanish.

But I do think people are there for a healthcare need or a health-related or a dental need and just having, you know, a very simple but attractive one-pager that gives a couple of phone numbers, in particular.

I think we have to be very cognizant that we don't have broadband everywhere in Kentucky and that we have a lot of people that don't have Internet access. Because it's easier to do Internet kinds of things and to send out, you know, blast emails and so forth.

So those were some of the ideas that we

1	had, and I'll Commissioner Lee and
2	Veronica, I'll send you that paper just so
3	that you have those. That might be helpful
4	to you.
5	And I'll ask any of the MAC members
6	Kent, you had something in the chat
7	about this.
8	MR. GILBERT: I just something
9	I'll reach out to Mr. Verry for, which is, I
10	think there was at one time, you know, a
11	lot of parishes and congregations of
12	faith-based organizations had parish nurses.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
14	MR. GILBERT: I'm seeing a need for
15	parish connectors. In other words, if we
16	could develop some way in which parishes who
17	wish to congregations, faith communities
18	could have a trusted partner from within that
19	would be trained and fully certified as a
20	connector, I'm wondering if there wouldn't
21	be you know, that would be a great program
22	for faith-based communities to engage in so
23	that they'd have a trusted person they could
24	go to as a connector and those local local
25	access would be increased.

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
2	MR. GILBERT: It wouldn't change
3	it would just be a question of how we would
4	get those people trained, but I'm sure
5	there's a process. And I'll reach out to
6	Mr. Verry about that and see if I can promote
7	that.
8	MR. VERRY: Yeah. There is a
9	process. Certified application counselors,
10	they can be from hospitals, many health
11	centers, those kind of things. But they can
12	also be from 501(c) organizations.
13	MR. GILBERT: Okay.
14	MR. VERRY: Typically, these are,
15	like, food pantries and that kind of thing.
16	MR. GILBERT: Yeah. Right.
17	MR. VERRY: That would be, you
18	know, brilliant. And many of them that are
19	in, like, a food pantry or something are also
20	doing staff applications as well.
21	MR. GILBERT: Right.
22	MR. VERRY: Obviously, that has a
23	lot of advantages. So yeah, I'll get with
24	you or send me an email, or I'll send you an
25	email

1	MR. GILBERT: Great.
2	MR. VERRY: on how a 501(c)
3	organization can apply for that process.
4	MR. GILBERT: Perfect. Thank you.
5	MR. VERRY: That's a great idea.
6	Love it.
7	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Great idea, Kent.
8	Thank you.
9	MR. MARTIN: Hey, Sheila, I'd like
10	to say
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes, Barry.
12	MR. MARTIN: This is Barry from
13	Primary Care Centers. We've had a lot of
14	great luck with our connectors and then
15	they're also we're having some connectors
16	in the Kentucky Community College System as
17	well, and they're reaching a lot of people.
18	And it's a great program, so keep up the good
19	work.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. That's a
21	great idea. I was thinking schools more of
22	K through 12 but, obviously, the KCTCS and
23	probably at the other campuses as well. It's
24	a little bit harder to quite figure out.
25	But, you know, there are a lot of college
	59

1	students that are in that in between. They
2	may have just rolled off their parents'
3	coverage and be kind of lost about that.
4	MR. MARTIN: Yeah.
5	MR. VERRY: The average age of a
6	community college student is 32, something
7	like that. They're slightly older.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
9	MR. VERRY: So they're not with Mom
10	and Dad and
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
12	MR. VERRY: it's really, really
13	a good example and, many times, need food or
14	childcare assistance.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
16	MR. MARTIN: Yeah.
17	MR. VERRY: Sometimes that
18	childcare assistance is the benefit cliff of
19	whether they're going to be able to continue
20	their education or not. So yeah, great.
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right. David,
22	there's a request in the chat for you to put
23	your email address in, please.
24	MR. VERRY: Okay. Yep. Someone
25	already did.
	60

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: But he just put it
2	in there so
3	MR. VERRY: 'Tis I. That's me.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. There you
5	go, david.verry, v-e-r-r-y.
6	Thank you so much. Any other
7	suggestions along those lines?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right.
10	Thank you so much, Veronica and David, for
11	being on. That's very, very helpful.
12	Commissioner Lee, you were going to talk
13	about the recent Supreme Court rulings and
14	some that might have some impact on Medicaid
15	and services.
16	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. Sure.
17	And thank you. And before I get started,
18	just I am not an attorney. I'm just
19	wanting to give you a little overview of what
20	we've been talking about at the national
21	level related to these court cases.
22	So, basically, over the last several
23	weeks, there have been a number of court
24	decisions that could have an impact on
25	federal agency regulations and overall or
	61

1 challenges to those federal regulations 2 overall, with specific challenges to actions 3 taken by CMS. 4 There have been recently three Supreme 5 Court cases that have implications for 6 federal agency regulation actions overall. 7 And, basically, these actions shift authority 8 from federal agencies to courts for the 9 purpose of interpreting ambiguous federal 10 law. So that's one that we're keeping an eye 11 on. 12 Another one extends the statute of limitations for initiating legal challenges 13 14 of regulations. 15 And then the third one gives defendants 16 who are subjects to Securities and Exchange 17 Commission civil penalties the right to a 18 jury trial, and so that could have broader 19 implications for civil compliance actions. 20 So, basically, you know, a common thread 21 among these decisions is an examination of 22 the role the courts play in determining under the federal -- I think it's the 23 24 Administrative Procedures Act, whether 25 federal agency regulation actions are

1	permissible in relation to the plain language
2	of a federal law enacted by Congress.
3	So, basically, what this means is it
4	could be relevant to Medicaid programs
5	because CMS, they often issue regulations or
6	rules that such as all the final rules
7	that just came out, that interpret and apply
8	federal Medicaid law.
9	So, you know, CMS when individuals or
10	when states submit 1115s, CMS usually reviews
11	and negotiates that with states, whether to
12	approve or deny their demonstrations. And
13	Kentucky does have a current 1115 that was
14	just recently approved, our reentry waiver.
15	But, basically, we're just keeping an
16	eye on all of this and some of the actions
17	that have come out that haven't really
18	referenced these cases. For example, both
19	Indiana and Georgia have 1115 waivers that
20	expanded their Medicaid program. But those
21	waivers do have some provisions very akin to
22	work requirements and premiums that are
23	currently being challenged.
24	In Indiana, depending on how that
25	goes of course, Indiana is very concerned
	63

right now that if the challenge is upheld in 1 court, that it could have an impact on their 2 3 overall Medicaid expansion program. So the good news for Kentucky is our 4 5 Medicaid expansion is in a State Plan We don't think there will be any 6 Amendment. 7 challenges but just wanted to alert you all 8 to the fact that there are those court cases 9 and some challenges to some Medicaid agencies 10 already related to those recent decisions. 11 Just putting that out there. 12 We are keeping an eye on this at the national level and tracking those court cases 13 14 such as the one in Indiana, Georgia. I think 15 there's another one in Tennessee that is not 16 related to Medicaid expansion, but there's a 17 few more. But we're just kind of monitoring 18 and watching the situation just to see where 19 it may go but just wanted to alert you to 20 that. 21 Not sure I can answer any questions 22 other than those court cases do have the 23 potential to challenge CMS interpretation of 24 certain laws as we move forward. 25 CHAIR SCHUSTER: I assume that that 64

1	first is that Chevron ruling.
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.
3	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
4	COMMISSIONER LEE: That's what has
5	been referred to as the Chevron, but there
6	were three specific
7	CHAIR SCHUSTER: For us
8	non-attorneys, there's been a fair amount of
9	newspaper coverage that has explained that
10	where basically, I guess, the justice has
11	said the Courts will decide, you know.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: For those of you
14	who have not worked with regulations, you
15	know, when I do my advocacy training, I talk
16	about you pass the statute. And that's like
17	framing your house, but you can't live in it.
18	And so it's the regulations that put in the
19	wiring and the flooring and the windows and
20	the HVAC and so forth. So it literally is
21	the crossing of the Ts and the dotting of the
22	Is.
23	And, of course, those decisions are made
24	by the agencies, federal agencies or state
25	agencies, by people that have, in most cases,

1 longevity and a lot of knowledge about the 2 specific thing that the regulation is written 3 about. So it's a bit disarming, at least to me, 4 5 to think about a judge who was trained in the law but was probably not trained in health 6 7 care, in any sense, looking at a CMS reg and 8 deciding that they know best how it should be 9 interpreted, which I think is basically what 10 Chevron does. 11 COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. We're 12 definitely keeping an eye on things. And, 13 you know, if we see other cases that are 14 coming to bear, then we will let you know. 15 But the Indiana and the Georgia one, a little 16 bit concerning for them because, again, they 17 do have their expansion in an 1115. 18 And the -- we think that the challenge 19 may be that those 1115s are a little bit more 20 maybe stringent than they should be as it 21 relates to work requirements, or it doesn't 22 really keep with the intent of the Medicaid 23 program to provide access to care. 24 But definitely, Dr. Schuster, I think 25 that you've hit the nail on the head with the 66

1	concerns that Medicaid directors have as to
2	who gets to interpret that ambiguity. And we
3	know that there are several regulations or
4	statutes that are ambiguous just for the sake
5	of being having to have some flexibility.
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Yeah.
7	That's an interesting point, is it really
8	takes away your flexibility, or you're
9	reluctant to put it in there if you think
10	it's going to be interpreted by a single
11	judge or a group of judges so
12	Well, thank you. I think it's helpful
13	for us to have that perspective from
14	Washington, and you certainly are in a great
15	position as chair of that national group of
16	Medicaid directors to, you know, kind of get
17	this firsthand. So keep us posted. Let us
18	know how worried we should be as we go along.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yeah. Right
20	now, not not too worried right now but as
21	it goes along, you know.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. Any
23	questions from any of the MAC members of the
24	commissioner on that issue?
25	(No response.)
	67

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I don't know if
2	we've got any attorneys probably not on
3	the MAC, at least in our current makeup so
4	All right. We have exciting news that
5	we have a new school-based services grant.
6	Are you going to talk about that,
7	Commissioner, or somebody else?
8	COMMISSIONER LEE: I think, you
9	know, we've been we have Erica Jones here
10	who
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Oh, good.
12	COMMISSIONER LEE: has been
13	leading up this initiative and has been
14	working really hard. And I think I'm going
15	to let Erica she's on; right? Yeah.
16	There she is. I see her.
17	I'm going to let Erica give y'all an
18	update because she definitely has more
19	knowledge about this project than I do.
20	Erica?
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, and she was
22	kind enough to come and report to our BH TAC
23	at our last meeting, which we appreciate, so
24	we're looking at having ongoing reports from
25	her as well. Welcome, Erica.
	68

1	MS. JONES: Thank you very much.
2	Let's see. Are you able to see my screen?
3	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes, ma'am.
4	MS. JONES: Okay. So I'll go ahead
5	and get started. I'll go through these
6	the overview of our project, SHINE Kentucky.
7	That's an acronym for Strengthening Health
8	Integration and Education for Kentucky
9	students. Go over a little bit about the
10	school-based services history and then our
11	goals and strategies, our budget, and then
12	that first-year work plan.
13	So in January of this year, CMS released
14	a Notice of Funding opportunity for
15	two-and-a-half million dollars for a
16	three-year grant period. And there were
17	several options. It was for implementation,
18	expansion, or enhancement of school-based
19	services.
20	The implementation for states that
21	haven't implemented, the expanded access for
22	school-based services, and then the expansion
23	is for the ones that haven't
24	done beyond students that have an IEP.
25	And then enhancement are for those states
	69

1 that have already expanded access, and it 2 just allows them to further work on that 3 space. 4 So it, again, is a three-year project duration and two-and-a-half million dollars. 5 6 And when we applied for this grant, it was 7 with the assistance of the lieutenant 8 governor's office, Department of Education, 9 and also the Department For Behavioral 10 Health, Developmental and Intellectual 11 Disabilities. 12 And there were 18 states that were 13 awarded grants. Kentucky is one of three to 14 receive funding for enhancing school-based 15 services along with Massachusetts and 16 Minnesota. 17 And then a bit about the history. 18 2014, CMS did the free care reversal, which 19 allows states to implement school-based 20 services for children that had Medicaid 21 coverage but did not have an IEP. And so 22 that would allow school-based services to be 23 offered to a lot more students, any student 24 that had Medicaid. And if it was a 25 Medicaid-covered service in the school

1	setting, it could be covered.
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Erica, would you
3	just define an IEP? There may be some people
4	on the MAC that are not familiar with that
5	term.
6	MS. JONES: Certainly. IEP is an
7	individualized education plan and,
8	oftentimes, there's a committee in each
9	school, an ARC committee with parents,
10	therapists, school administration. And it
11	lays out the services that are needed for a
12	child. So it could be that a child needs
13	speech therapy so many days a week,
14	occupational therapy, that sort of thing.
15	And so in 2014, again, that free care
16	reversal meant any child that had Medicaid,
17	states could allow for reimbursement for any
18	of the services in that school setting.
19	Kentucky applied for or submitted our
20	State Plan Amendment in 2019 to expand the
21	services to include those students that
22	regardless of having an IEP.
23	In 2020, that was implemented but, of
24	course, COVID hit, and so it wasn't as robust
25	an implementation as we had hoped for. And
	71

so with this grant, we'll be able to build upon the foundation that we have already for enhancing our school-based health services.

And these are the goals that we have laid out. The first one is to increase provider capacity by 40 percent within three years. We know that there are issues with the capacity of providers as it is, and so we want school districts to be aware of all the different possibilities or modalities of providing services. And that could include contracting with CMHCs or BHSOs, FQHCs, and other private providers, if necessary.

We also wanted to make sure that we're reducing or eliminating any barriers to billing or administrating the program within the school districts.

And then that second goal is to increase or to improve the infrastructure so that telehealth services can be provided in the school setting. We know, because of that provider shortage, that sometimes it would be more beneficial to have a provider in another area be able to perform those services via telehealth.

1	The strategies that we have for
2	completing those goals, the targeted clinical
3	and administrative staff recruitment. So
4	that includes, of course, the providers.
5	But, also, we found from our survey that
6	there's a lot of turnover in the
7	administrative staff. And that's one of the
8	issues that schools have had in implementing
9	expanded access.
10	We are also launching the SHINE Kentucky
11	grant program. This is to award seven school
12	districts \$100,000 each to model enhanced
13	behavioral health services within their
14	school district, hopefully with the intention
15	of rolling those out statewide.
16	The training and capacity building. We
17	plan to do a very comprehensive training for
18	school districts that may not already be
19	using expanded access so that they're more
20	comfortable with what it entails, the covered
21	services, and also getting parental consent
22	and other training as needed.
23	Apologies. My mouth was getting awfully
24	dry.
25	The outreach and community engagement.
	73

1	So we want to make sure that there's a
2	continuity of care. So if a student is
3	receiving services in the school setting and
4	that's not the same provider that they're
5	seeing in the community setting, we want to
6	make sure that we are engaging those
7	community providers as well and also that
8	there's increased parental involvement so
9	that they, again, are aware of the services
10	that are available to their children in that
11	school setting.
12	And then going back to the telehealth,
13	making sure that there is the necessary
14	infrastructure and the physical and
15	technological infrastructure for to be
16	able to provide the telehealth services.
17	And then the project budget for the
18	three-year period. Of course, the majority
19	of the money is going to be spent on the
20	second year, and that's when we will be
21	seeing that more of a rollout of all of
22	the different initiatives we plan to
23	incorporate with the grant funding.
24	And so this is just showing the first
25	year of what our plan is. The first thing,

1 of course, is to figure out who we need to 2 have on our core team and then we're going to 3 complete a final needs and infrastructure needs assessment. 4 And this is the same information. 5 It's just laid out by the months, again, showing 6 7 the first task that we have ahead of us, and 8 that is to form that -- the core team and 9 then the needs and infrastructure assessment. And so doing that, we want to identify 10 11 the stakeholders, engage them, develop a 12 survey that will be able to capture all of But we also know that 13 the data that we need. 14 there have been a lot of other surveys that 15 have gone out, including DMS. 16 school-based health alliance has sent one. 17 So several other different agencies have 18 sent out surveys regarding school-based 19 services. So we want to also synthesize 20 those findings as well to make sure that we 21 have a true picture of the landscape of 22 school-based health services so that we can 23 actually know what we need to -- what those 24 final needs and infrastructure needs are. 25 And there is my contact information if

1	there is anyone that wants more information
	ř
2	about this grant or any of the school-based
3	services that Medicaid covers. And I will
4	open it up to questions.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you very
6	much, Erica. Will you send your PowerPoint
7	to Erin Bickers?
8	MS. JONES: Yes.
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: So she can send it
10	out. That would be very helpful. Thank you.
11	I have a question. Then we'll see if
12	there are other questions. What's the time
13	frame for grants to the seven school
14	districts, and what's that process?
15	MS. JONES: So the core team that
16	will be working on that project, the first
17	six to nine months is that time frame of
18	identifying those school districts. So that
19	will be, let's see, six around January, I
20	believe, we'll start our process of
21	determining which school districts, how they
22	will apply, and then determining which ones
23	will be awarded those funds.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. Because I
25	would think there would be a lot of interest.
	76

MS. JONES: To enhance behavioral health services within that school district.  CHAIR SCHUSTER: So it's pretty broad. Great.  Any other questions from any of the MAC members?  DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I may have a I don't know if this is a question or just a comment. But I was looking in the University of Kentucky Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other societal issues that really can have	1	And the money is specifically designed to do
health services within that school district.  CHAIR SCHUSTER: So it's pretty  broad. Great.  Any other questions from any of the MAC  members?  DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I  may have a I don't know if this is a  question or just a comment. But I was  looking in the University of Kentucky  Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and  they had an article in there, you know, about  a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working  with schools on behavioral issues and  bullying and how folks can get involved and  help with that a little bit. But it wasn't  an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that,  you know, communities can get involved with  to and with their schools to look at  behavioral health and health issues like that  to decrease bullying, you know, other	2	what?
CHAIR SCHUSTER: So it's pretty broad. Great.  Any other questions from any of the MAC members?  DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I may have a I don't know if this is a question or just a comment. But I was looking in the University of Kentucky Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	3	MS. JONES: To enhance behavioral
Any other questions from any of the MAC members?  DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I  may have a I don't know if this is a  question or just a comment. But I was  looking in the University of Kentucky  Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and  they had an article in there, you know, about  a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working  with schools on behavioral issues and  bullying and how folks can get involved and  help with that a little bit. But it wasn't  an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that,  you know, communities can get involved with  to and with their schools to look at  behavioral health and health issues like that  to decrease bullying, you know, other	4	health services within that school district.
Any other questions from any of the MAC members?  DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I may have a I don't know if this is a question or just a comment. But I was looking in the University of Kentucky  Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: So it's pretty
DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I  may have a I don't know if this is a  question or just a comment. But I was  looking in the University of Kentucky  Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and  they had an article in there, you know, about  a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working  with schools on behavioral issues and  bullying and how folks can get involved and  help with that a little bit. But it wasn't  an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that,  you know, communities can get involved with  to and with their schools to look at  behavioral health and health issues like that  to decrease bullying, you know, other	6	broad. Great.
DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I may have a I don't know if this is a question or just a comment. But I was looking in the University of Kentucky Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	7	Any other questions from any of the MAC
may have a I don't know if this is a question or just a comment. But I was looking in the University of Kentucky Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	8	members?
question or just a comment. But I was looking in the University of Kentucky Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	9	DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth. I
looking in the University of Kentucky  Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	10	may have a I don't know if this is a
Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	11	question or just a comment. But I was
they had an article in there, you know, about a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	12	looking in the University of <i>Kentucky</i>
a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	13	Humanities magazine a month or so ago, and
with schools on behavioral issues and bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	14	they had an article in there, you know, about
bullying and how folks can get involved and help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	15	a one- or two-pager, on, you know, working
help with that a little bit. But it wasn't an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	16	with schools on behavioral issues and
an in-depth thing.  But is Erica, is this something that,  you know, communities can get involved with  to and with their schools to look at  behavioral health and health issues like that  to decrease bullying, you know, other	17	bullying and how folks can get involved and
But is Erica, is this something that, you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	18	help with that a little bit. But it wasn't
you know, communities can get involved with to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	19	an in-depth thing.
to and with their schools to look at behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	20	But is Erica, is this something that,
behavioral health and health issues like that to decrease bullying, you know, other	21	you know, communities can get involved with
to decrease bullying, you know, other	22	to and with their schools to look at
	23	behavioral health and health issues like that
25 societal issues that really can have	24	to decrease bullying, you know, other
	25	societal issues that really can have

1	long-ranging effects on people? I just
2	happened to see that article.
3	And, Kent, I thought, well, that might
4	be something, you know, our church could
5	even, you know, help get involved with, but
6	it's just an awful thing.
7	I was little in school and still a
8	little person. But I guess I was mean enough
9	that I just didn't let anybody pick on me too
10	much. But I was just wondering about that.
11	I remember reading that article from the
12	Kentucky Humanities magazine.
13	MS. JONES: Certainly. We work a
14	lot with the Kentucky Department of Education
15	on different initiatives for school-based
16	services including some of those, like,
17	school trainings, the whole child, whole
18	community aspect as well. So, certainly,
19	that would be helpful.
20	DR. BOBROWSKI: Okay. Thank you.
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Any other
22	questions from any of the MAC members?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I will say that
25	Erica presented at the BH TAC meeting a
	78

1	couple of weeks ago, and I think we were
2	all I don't know if disappointed is the
3	word. But the Medicaid billings for
4	behavioral health for both the kids with
5	IEPs, who are typically kids with an
6	identified disability, and the kids without
7	who are Medicaid eligible was really
8	miniscule.
9	And part of that problem, I think, is
10	being addressed in this grant, as I
11	understand it, Erica, and that is that the
12	schools are either not knowledgeable about or
13	are reluctant to get into the business of
14	billing Medicaid for services. So that's one
15	piece of this.
16	And the other that I think this grant is
17	also going to address is that some of those
18	services are provided by outside providers
19	such as the CMHCs or one of the we call
20	them BHSOs, Behavioral Health Service
21	Organizations. Or, Barry, one of the FQHCs,
22	Federally Qualified Health Centers, that have
23	behavioral health providers.
24	So I think we talked at some length at
25	the BH TAC meeting about how to get a much

1	more comprehensive and more accurate picture
2	of what's really happening in the schools,
3	the stuff that's being billed by the schools
4	and then the services that are being billed
5	by outside providers. So that's an ongoing
6	discussion that we will have at the BH TAC
7	meeting.
8	The other thing I would point out is
9	that Senate Bill 2 that just passed in this
10	2024 session builds on the earlier
11	Senate Bill 1 and Senate Bill 8 in 2019 and
12	2020 that are the School Safety and
13	Resiliency Acts that were first started after
14	the Marshall County High School shootings
15	where two students were killed in 2018.
16	And it fine-tunes that and makes the
17	Kentucky Department of Education responsible,
18	among other things, for reporting annually
19	what the Medicaid billings for behavioral
20	health have been. So this close-working
21	relationship between KDE and our DMS
22	certainly makes sense.
23	The other thing that's in there is the
24	goal of having school employees who are
25	either school counselors, school social
	80

1	workers, or school psychologists in a ratio
2	of 1 to 250 students. And when they started
3	this back in 2019, it was, I think, 1 to 430
4	students. And we've gotten better. We're up
5	to about or down, I guess, 1 to about 313
6	students.
7	So that's an ongoing kind of push that,
8	I think, Erica, is also consistent with what
9	you all are going to be doing in the grant.
10	Because you'll be working with those school
11	employees as well, won't you?
12	MS. JONES: Yes, we will.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Great. So
14	very exciting that you're getting some money
15	to do this work, and it's work that we need
16	to be doing but nice to have some funding and
17	some direction.
18	Any last questions, please?
19	DR. PARTIN: I have a question.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Who is
21	that?
22	DR. PARTIN: This is Beth, Beth
23	Partin.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Oh, Beth. Hi. I
25	don't have my
	81

1	DR. PARTIN: For the kids that are
2	getting school-based services, would there be
3	a way for feedback to get back to the primary
4	care providers on the services that the kids
5	provide? Because right now, at least I don't
6	receive any feedback when the kids are seen.
7	MS. JONES: That's something we're
8	wanting to work on, for that
9	continuity-of-care part. So now it may vary
10	by the different providers, but that is a
11	piece of what the grant will be working on.
12	DR. PARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: That's an
14	excellent point, Beth. I attend a regular
15	meeting of pediatricians and mental health
16	people in Louisville that UofL sponsors, and
17	there's that constant question from the
18	medical providers.
19	You know, kids get admitted to the
20	hospital, to the psych hospital, and receive
21	treatment. And the provider you know, the
22	PCP, the pediatrician, the family
23	practitioner never gets notified. And I'm
24	sure it's true at the level of the school
25	services as well.
	82

1	So excellent point. Thank you for
2	bringing that up.
3	DR. PARTIN: Yeah. You know, along
4	that same line with behavioral health, we
5	never receive any reports or consultations or
6	feedback from behavioral health providers
7	regarding diagnoses or treatment of patients,
8	any patients, kids or adults. So it would be
9	great to get some kind of feedback.
10	In the past, I was told that that
11	information was confidential, and so it
12	wasn't shared. But I think it's important
13	for primary care providers to know what the
14	diagnosis is and what medications or
15	treatment people are receiving in the
16	behavioral health arena.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, we're not
18	going to have integrated care until that
19	starts happening on a regular basis; right?
20	DR. PARTIN: Right.
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: The whole idea of
22	integrated care is that there's no wrong door
23	for people, whether they have a behavioral
24	health need or a physical health need, if you
25	will, which is sometimes not a very clear
	83

1	dichotomy or difference but
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: I was just
3	wondering if any of that information is
4	available maybe in KHIE, in the Kentucky
5	Health Information Exchange, or in, you know,
6	our KyHealth Net. I mean, I it would be,
7	I guess, to go out and look it up, but I
8	don't know if it's available there to our
9	providers.
10	DR. PARTIN: I don't know.
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: You know, it's
12	there's such longstanding stigma around
13	mental health and addiction treatment. And
14	the addiction information is even more
15	strongly protected federally in terms of
16	release.
17	Nina, what do you all do in terms of
18	being in touch with or communicating with the
19	PCP? She may not still be on.
20	MS. EISNER: It's certainly no.
21	I can hear you. It's certainly desirable,
22	but it does require the patient consent for
23	communication.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
25	MS. EISNER: And sometimes there
	8.4

1 might be a reluctance. I think it's easier 2 probably with the pediatric patients and with 3 the psychiatric patients than it is with the addiction patients. 4 5 As you've said, the federal law that protects communication about addictions, 6 7 treatment services is pretty strong and 8 supersedes state law. So we have to have 9 that consent from patients to communicate. 10 I agree with you all wholeheartedly. 11 You can't really have a really integrated 12 care system until such time as you have that communication back to PCPs. 13 14 I know in an ideal world, I would hope 15 that with patient consent, the physician 16 would call another practitioner or, you know, 17 APRN or therapist or whatever, so there's 18 that direct communication, not just a release 19 of paper information. But I know it's a 20 dilemma. Patients don't always want to give 21 that consent. 22 CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, I certainly 23 agree with you. I wonder how much it just is 24 not thought about. You know, most of my 25 practice, when I was in practice, was

1	evaluations. A lot of the referrals I got
2	were from pediatricians or family care
3	providers. And, of course, I said to the
4	parent, you know, I'm going to have you sign
5	a release because I want to get the
6	information back to Dr. So-and-so,
7	Dr. Partin, you know, so-and-so.
8	On the evaluation side, it's a little
9	bit more straightforward. I think it's
10	tougher on the therapy side to do it on a
11	regular basis or to know, you know, what
12	information needs to be
13	But what you're asking, in part, Beth,
14	is a very straightforward you know, what's
15	an initial diagnosis, and are they getting
16	medication that I should know about? And is
17	there a treatment plan kind of thing?
18	DR. PARTIN: Right.
19	MS. EISNER: Well, and another
20	thing that, you know, I know we have always
21	said at the front door is if there's a
22	professional refer, they need to understand
23	that the hospital is going to try to secure
24	communication or permission to communicate
25	back.

1 And a very simple message is if you 2 don't hear from us, that indicates that there 3 might be a problem. And then that primary care provider or professional refer can reach 4 5 out to the patient directly and say, you know, would you allow me to communicate with 6 7 your care providers? 8 CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. 9 DR. PARTIN: That's -- that would be ideal, but the thing is that we don't even 10 11 So, one, you don't know to ask the 12 question because you don't know that that 13 type of care took place. 14 And then secondly, we get --15 automatically, we get reports from hospitals 16 and from specialists when we send patients 17 for consultations or when our patients are 18 admitted. The hospitals are really good 19 about sending a notice. You know, this 20 patient was admitted and then sending us 21 information that they were discharged. 22 then once we get that notification, then we 23 can send a request for the discharge summary 24 from the hospital. 25 But we don't get any kind of

1	notification about behavioral health. So we
2	don't know to ask the question in the first
3	place.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: So if you're not
5	the referring agent, is what you're saying,
6	Beth, you have no way of knowing unless the
7	patient tells you.
8	DR. PARTIN: Right. Or even if we
9	are, we don't get any information. We don't
10	get a consult letter. You know, if I refer
11	somebody to pulmonology or oncology or
12	cardiology, I get a consult letter back. But
13	if I refer somebody to behavioral health, I
14	never get anything.
15	MS. EISNER: That might be
16	something, Sheila, that would be important to
17	take back in terms of: What are strategies
18	to enhance communication with other care
19	providers within the regulations and the
20	laws? But, Beth, I think you're absolutely
21	right. I think there is not always great
22	communication back to the team of providers.
23	And sometimes, you know, hospitals, for
24	example, may not know who all the patients
25	who all the patient is involved with because
	88

1	they're not always very accurate historians.
2	DR. PARTIN: Right.
3	MS. EISNER: But, Sheila, I think
4	that would be very good to take back to the
5	BH TAC for further discussion.
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. I think we
7	will add that to our already long list of
8	issues.
9	MS. EISNER: Yeah.
10	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I may have to go
11	to the second page of my BH TAC agenda. But
12	it is I think it is critical, and we've
13	talked so much about
14	MS. EISNER: Yeah.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: integrative
16	care. And if there's no communication, there
17	is no integration, basically.
18	MS. EISNER: Yeah. I think Beth
19	brought up a really good point.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. So
21	thank you, Erica, for stimulating this very
22	good discussion.
23	And the schools are a piece of that. If
24	you're dealing with kids, you've got to be
25	communicating with schools. That's where
	89

1	
1	they spend a lot of hours of their awake
2	time, or hopefully awake time. And, you
3	know, the other piece obviously are the
4	parents are so critical if you're dealing
5	with kids.
6	So thank you very much, Erica. We look
7	forward to hearing periodically how the grant
8	is going, if you would.
9	MS. JONES: Yes. Thank you.
10	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you.
11	We have good news. The reentry waiver
12	was approved by CMS. This is huge, folks,
13	and we're going to have a summary of that.
14	And, Lisa, I'm not sure who's doing that.
15	COMMISSIONER LEE: The Deputy
16	Commissioner, Leslie Hoffmann, will be.
17	She's been leading this project up for
18	several years.
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
20	COMMISSIONER LEE: So we're going
21	to turn it over to her.
22	MS. HOFFMANN: This is Leslie, and
23	I would like just to ask I cleared it with
24	Veronica if I could do E and G and then
25	Veronica is going to take over F. I've got
	90

1	to get to another meeting.
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes.
3	MS. HOFFMANN: Actually, I've asked
4	Angela Sparrow to give you a short little
5	presentation, if that's okay. She is on
6	her a behavioral health supervisor and has
7	been fabulous on this project. So, Angela,
8	take over.
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you very
10	much. Yes, Angela.
11	MS. SPARROW: Yes.
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: The guru of the
13	Reentry TAC.
14	MS. SPARROW: Good morning. Good
15	morning. I am going to go ahead and share
16	just a couple of slides, again, that we had
17	presented last week at the Medicaid
18	stakeholder forum. Let me go ahead and pull
19	those up.
20	Okay. All right. So, again, yes, great
21	news. Kentucky did receive our approval for
22	our Section 1115 Reentry Demonstration.
23	Again, it will fall under our broader Team
24	Kentucky 1115 Demonstration, so lots of great
25	things happening across the state in terms of

1 our flexibilities under our 1115 programs. 2 So we did receive approval from CMS 3 along with some of the other states, again, 4 in that first cohort of states where they are 5 piloting, again, and had a proposed 6 implementation of a fast-track approval for 7 some of the demonstrations that historically, 8 again, may take months and even years, if 9 we're all familiar with the original 10 incarceration amendment submitted to CMS a 11 few years ago. 12 So, again, with the approval, we are 13 moving forward. Just wanted to provide 14 hopefully an overview if you're not as 15 familiar with -- with the demonstration and 16 the opportunity. But it does allow Medicaid, again, the 17 18 authority to be able to reimburse for a 19 selected services benefit package, if you 20 will, for individuals that are designated in 21 public institutions, justice-involved 22 individuals that are designated in public 23 institutions that would otherwise be eligible 24 for Medicaid benefits. 25 So, again, prior to the approval, 92

1	Medicaid was not able to reimburse for
2	services while an individual is incarcerated.
3	And I think, again, we're probably all
4	familiar with many of those barriers and
5	challenges that that creates for, again, all
6	of our systems.
7	And so under this opportunity, again, we
8	did receive authority. It does allow the
9	states to begin to provide select services to
10	individuals that are covered under the
11	demonstration, in the facilities that are
12	covered under the demonstration prerelease.
13	And really, again, to begin facilitating
14	those linkages to both, again, medical,
15	behavioral health, addressing our
16	health-related social needs of that
17	individual. Really, again, pulling together
18	our correctional facilities and systems, our
19	healthcare systems, our community-based
20	systems to wrap around and support that
21	individual as they begin their time
22	reentering into the community.
23	And so under the demonstration,
24	initially, what is approved is for adults and
25	juveniles. So, again, we did receive
	93

approval to begin providing services, the select services that we'll talk about 60 days prerelease. And that, again, is for our adults in our state prisons right now and for our youth that are in our youth development centers, our Department for Juvenile Justice youth development centers. And so, again, those are the youth that are adjudicated, again, that are -- I believe there are nine of those centers across the state.

With that being said, again, we are encouraged and, under the demonstration, all individ- -- all the youth entering those facilities, again, or adults entering the state prisons would be screened and would, again, apply for Medicaid, if eligible, at the time that they are incarcerated.

We will continue to move forward with suspending eligibility, not terminating eligibility, during that time period. And then again, at the time, 60 days' prerelease, when they're eligible for the selected benefit package, their eligibility would be reinstated. Or, again, they would go through that redetermination process.

And so the goal is that really those -the coverage is reinstated prerelease and
that, again, we're starting to identify those
needs or, again, working with our
correctional facilities who are already
providing services to those individuals and
identifying those needs, to be able to come
together to, again, really wrap around that
individual in terms of what those needs are
and supports as they transition back into our
communities.

So the benefit package does currently include case management services. It really is intended to be an enhanced case management. All of the adult individuals in the state prisons and then, again, our juveniles in the youth development centers are eligible for that case management service.

And so, again, it's a little bit different than what we think of targeted case management, which, again, is more targeted towards individuals with chronic health conditions and, again, behavioral health needs. So this, again, would be for anyone

1 that is covered under the demonstration. 2 But through that case management 3 service, again, the -- we would begin to do a 4 complete, a comprehensive assessment and 5 screening of needs, identify what those medical, behavioral health, and 6 7 health-related social needs such as housing, 8 employment, food, transportation, et cetera, 9 for that individual is and then developing 10 what that plan is going to be to help them 11 transition back into the community. 12 Ensure, again, that there's those 13 linkages to primary care providers, to -- if 14 there is behavioral health needs. If there 15 are, again, chronic conditions, et cetera, 16 that we are making, again, those referrals, those linkages, scheduling those 17 18 appointments, working with our correctional 19 partners to do that as well. 20 And then again, really working with our 21 community providers to ensure that those 22 needs can be met at transition and that there 23 really is that plan for that individual to 24 support them, again, as they initially 25 transition back into the community but really

1 looking at what is that long-term support for 2 them as well. 3 So individuals would be eligible for 4 that case management service up to 12 months 5 post-release, if needed. And then again, under the demonstration, medication-assisted 6 7 treatment is defined as the medication plus 8 the accompanied therapies. And so Medicaid 9 would be able to reimburse for that. 10 We know, again, that there are some 11 programs already occurring within our 12 correctional facilities. And so this, again, 13 is an opportunity to be able to expand that 14 to additional correctional facilities, 15 different -- excuse me, additional forms of 16 medication and be able to work with our 17 correctional partners to build that service 18 as well and support that. 19 So, again, individuals with a substance 20 use diagnosis that would meet criteria for 21 that service would be eligible for --22 Medicaid would be eligible to reimburse that 23 60 days' prerelease and then, again, be able 24 to carry that forward into the community at 25 the time that they are released.

1 And then our correctional facilities in 2 terms of our state prisons and our youth development centers are already doing this. 3 4 But, again, it's an opportunity that Medicaid 5 can support but ensure that there are no disruptions for that individual when they're 6 7 leaving the correctional facility, going to 8 the community again, trying to get their 9 medications. 10 But, again, part of the service package 11 is reimbursement and covering and ensuring 12 that there is a 30-day supply of all medications, over-the-counter or 13 14 prescription, including durable medical 15 equipment, at the time that that individual 16 is released. So that is -- again, we know that there 17 18 are often barriers for obtaining some of 19 those medications in terms of also, again, 20 having the appointments to follow up and 21 being able to continue those into the 22 community. And so that is also, again, a 23 part of the service package that would be 24 included. 25 And so the correctional facilities will

1	be considered the provider at this time. So,
2	again, they would actually work and would be
3	providing the services, would be reimbursed
4	for the services. The correctional
5	facilities, again, can still contract with
6	our community providers to be able to provide
7	those services if they choose to do that.
8	But, again, the focus and emphasis
9	really under the demonstration is bringing
10	together our correctional facilities, our
11	healthcare systems, and our community
12	providers, really, again, looking at which
13	The conversation before this, again,
14	Beth, I think, brought up some great points.
15	That's really what the demonstration and
16	the infrastructure that we want to build and
17	CMS wants to see our states build across our
18	systems, is that health data exchange and
19	information exchange. So ensuring that we
20	really that our healthcare providers, our
21	community-based providers have access to
22	that, to those records that are accessible;
23	right?
24	And so what is the system that we are
25	going to use to support that? Is that KHIE?
	99

1 Again, really getting that buy-in. Are there 2 other systems in place? 3 But that is really going to be key in 4 supporting this demonstration and then being 5 able to grow the demonstration in terms of additional services and settings that are 6 7 going to be covered as well. So that really 8 is what we want to look at, again. 9 But by doing that, we'll also look at 10 what is that -- by building that 11 infrastructure and that health data exchange 12 system and that data integration, it then 13 does not just become about reentry; right? 14 So it also becomes on the entry side. 15 Ensuring, again, that our healthcare systems 16 are sharing data with our correctional 17 systems, again, so that it does not just 18 become about reentry. 19 But when that individual does actually 20 enter into the correctional facility, our 21 correctional facilities are also able to 22 access the healthcare information that they 23 need to be able to provide services upon 24 reentry. So really, again, that's a key 25 component to the implementation.

And so, again, there are several milestones and goals, again, that the State has developed and required to meet under the demonstration. We are required to submit an implementation plan to CMS by the end of October.

So even with the approval, again, just to be transparent, that does not mean that we are able to begin providing these services today or that the individuals have access to the services today. We do have to submit our implementation plan to say how we are going to meet and -- demonstrate the services and meet the requirements.

And so to do that, again, we have kicked off kind of our project oversight and governance structure. There, again, is an advisory committee who will really see kind of that high-level oversight and strategic direction of the project.

And that, again, is made up of state partners, community partners, individuals with lived experience. We really do want a very broad array of folks to be a part of that committee.

1 It did kick off a couple of months ago. And, again, we're looking to reschedule and 2 3 get kind of a re-jump start, if you will, 4 since, again, with the fast-tracked approach 5 and submission of CMS, we really had to meet 6 those asks. 7 And with that being said, our 8 implementation timeline to submit our plan 9 back to CMS was shortened just a bit. 10 are looking at how we again are going to move 11 So we will be pulling that forward. 12 committee back together. 13 But we also have a core project team 14 made up of, again, our state partners and 15 agencies. So they really will be kind of the 16 boots on the ground, if you will, in that 17 direct oversight of the workgroups and work 18 streams that will be completing some of the 19 implementation details and planning. 20 And so, again, hopefully -- I know many 21 of you are involved in that. Hopefully, 22 you're aware of that but really, again, how 23 we will move forward in terms of 24 implementation planning and then what that 25 timeline looks for at -- before the actual

1 implementation. 2 So, again, it is slated to be possibly summer of next year in terms of 3 implementation approval, system changes, 4 5 meeting all the requirements, readiness assessments, et cetera, before the go live so 6 7 do want to be transparent about that. 8 Again, continue to say this really is 9 the building block. We already are 10 leveraging the work that's already being done 11 across the state. It is not just Medicaid by 12 So, again, it's a true any means. 13 partnership across our cabinets and our 14 systems and, again, our communities as well 15 to be able to implement this. And if we --16 we'll continue to build upon it, but really 17 ensuring that we have that infrastructure to 18 build and grow upon is going to be key. 19 So, again, just -- we are working to get 20 some FAQs and some information up to the 21 website and get it updated post the approval. 22 So, hopefully, that can be up for you very 23 soon, and we'll certainly share that when it 24 gets posted. 25 But, again, just kind of the reminder. 103

1	It is not the full state plan benefit poskess
	It is not the full state plan benefit package
2	prerelease but, really, there is a selected
3	benefit services at this time. Really
4	wanting to be able to support across all
5	systems, really that integration and support
6	for that individual as they transition back
7	into the community. And then again, at that
8	time, they would have access to their full
9	Medicaid benefits that they're eligible for
10	at that time.
11	So I'll pause and see if there's any
12	questions. I know that's a lot of
13	information to throw at you, but it's great
14	information so
15	DR. BOBROWSKI: This is Garth
16	Bobrowski. I've got a couple of questions,
17	Angela, and I don't know if I should direct
18	this to you or Steve or both of you.
19	But living out here in the country, a
20	lot of times, we get on our local radios,
21	they'll they did it again this morning.
22	They had a they report publicly the list
23	of, I guess, public offenders, who's going to
24	jail and but so many times, we hear part
25	of the report is repeated drug use, or they

1 found it on them. Or they were selling it. But anyway, part of that is -- is there 2 3 a way to see or evaluate the effectiveness, you know, long term or follow up on patient 4 improvements? And who evaluates the SUD or 5 the improvements that are being made? And 6 7 then how -- how does it or does it even tie 8 in with a patient's contract? 9 A lot of these pain clinics have 10 contracts with the patient that they're not 11 supposed to seek or obtain any other drugs 12 without the pain clinics' notice. Because I 13 noticed you had a -- I can't remember if it 14 was 30- or 60-day where -- that the Medicaid 15 program would help supply, you know, some 16 medication in helping people get reentry. 17 So these are just stuff I'm not familiar 18 with but just wanting to learn. 19 MS. SPARROW: Yeah. Thank you, 20 Garth. Good questions. 21 And so, again, there -- as we're 22 implementing the project in providing the 23 services, again, really part of those 24 requirements in our practices --25 right? -- is to ensure that we're providing 105

1 those services based on evidence-based 2 practice. 3 So we really want to ensure that we're also providing the services that are 4 5 individualized to each member; really, again, identifying what that individual member's 6 7 needs are and ensuring that we have that 8 individualized plan. And so we do want to 9 ensure that we're not, again, providing 10 services that are more of the scripted, if 11 you will, certain amount of time and days. 12 But, again, that's really where we want 13 to work towards building that health data 14 integration; right? So that we know if 15 there's services that they were already 16 receiving, that we're coordinating what those medications are. What was the services that 17 18 they're getting already? And ensure that 19 we're really coordinating that at the time 20 that they're released. 21 Especially in, we know, our local jails, 22 the time frame could be very short that an individual would be incarcerated and then 23 24 returning back into the community. And so we 25 really do want to look at: How do we ensure

1	that we're not duplicating and restarting the
2	wheel as they are entering the facility and
3	then back into the community?
4	And so those you know, those things
5	are all part of the implementation planning
6	process. And in terms of the medication
7	assisted treatment, yes, there when the
8	correctional facilities and, again, they
9	have programs. Many of them already have
10	programs in place which, again, I think
11	Kentucky is ahead of
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Did we lose you,
13	Angela?
14	COMMISSIONER LEE: It looks like
15	she might be frozen.
16	MS. SPARROW: Sorry. Can you hear
17	me now?
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER LEE: Yes.
20	MS. SPARROW: So, again, we
21	within those programs, we want to ensure,
22	again, Garth, that they're provided by the
23	appropriate practitioners, again, to be able
24	to screen those individuals for the
25	appropriate criteria and that they're
	107

1	provided the way
2	COMMISSIONER LEE: We've lost her
3	again. I don't know if maybe we can
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
5	COMMISSIONER LEE: So Leslie is
6	available, Dr. Bobrowski. If you have a
7	question, you can ask Leslie.
8	MS. HOFFMANN: This is Leslie. You
9	can reach out to us. If you want to send an
10	email, Dr. Bobrowski, that would be fine. Or
11	if there was something that I think she
12	was just saying that we're very much making
13	sure that each individual's needs are being
14	assessed and addressed and then that the
15	correct practitioner for those needs are
16	being met. So I think that's what she was
17	getting at before she dropped off.
18	DR. BOBROWSKI: Right.
19	MS. HOFFMANN: It's not just one
20	population anymore. We're looking at
21	multiple populations with the reentry.
22	DR. BOBROWSKI: Yeah. Thank you.
23	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, and it's
24	starting, Garth, in the prisons. So you're
25	getting your local people are talking
	108

1	about local jails probably. And so
2	DR. BOBROWSKI: That's right, yeah.
3	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. The program
4	is not going to be in the local jails yet.
5	It's going to start in the prisons and with
6	DJJ, which are the juveniles.
7	DR. BOBROWSKI: Okay.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And we're
9	hoping because we know that there a lot of
10	even state prisoners that are in the jails
11	so
12	But excellent questions. And Steve
13	Shannon is on. We'll hear from him in a
14	little bit. He chairs the Persons Returning
15	to Society from Incarceration TAC, which is
16	actually the Reentry TAC, and they meet the
17	second Thursday every other month. It's the
18	months that the MAC meets, and they meet at
19	9:00. And those are open meetings if anybody
20	is interested. That's a great way to kind of
21	follow along.
22	I thought it was important for the MAC
23	to know that this is going on because
24	Kentucky has such a very high incarceration
25	rate. We unfortunately have one of the
	109

highest state rates across the country. And
as a child psychologist, I have to point out
that we have more kids in Kentucky who have
had a parent or both parents who have been
incarcerated. And it has devastating,
devastating effects on kids. It's one of the
ACEs, the Adverse Childhood Experiences, that
we look at for kids.
So I just think that this is this is
really where our attention needs to be right
now, is to try to help those people that are
incarcerated who have a behavioral health
issue. So it's not just the substance use or
addiction disorders, but it's also the mental
health care.
And we do know that people get into
trouble because they have those disorders,
not that having a disorder makes you a
criminal. But they are drug-seeking, or
they're, you know, exercising poor judgment
or whatever the reasons are. And so they get
themselves into trouble so
DR. BOBROWSKI: Well, that was
Sheila, that was kind of why and I just
happened to run across and stumble across

1	that article in that one magazine about, you
2	know, basically, behavioral health and how to
3	help, you know, through possible school-based
4	systems and the younger children.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right. Well, and
6	there certainly is a school-to-prison
7	pipeline that has been talked about and
8	researched and so forth. So we really do
9	have to do those school-based services and
10	start the younger we can start, the better
11	off we are.
12	And it really takes you know, the
13	proverbial it takes the village to raise the
14	child. It really does take a village, you
15	know, the parents and the support systems
16	there but the schools and the health
17	providers. So, again, that communication is
18	so important.
19	But this is great work, and we're just
20	so excited. Leslie gets the longevity award
21	for hanging in there with this. What is
22	this? Five years or so, Leslie?
23	MS. HOFFMANN: It's been a long
24	time, yeah.
25	CHAIR SCHUSTER: We've been on this
	111

1	journey. So to get it approved and one of
2	the earlier states to get it approved, I
3	think, is just fantastic. So we will have
4	regular updates from you.
5	Are there any other great questions,
6	Garth. Thank you. Any other questions from
7	any of the TAC members or comments?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right.
10	Thank you.
11	And, Leslie, you're going to go on and
12	talk about the HCBS. Those are the home and
13	community-based waiver waiting lists and the
14	report that's due.
15	MS. HOFFMANN: Yeah. I was going
16	to mention just the information I have right
17	now about the report that's due to the
18	general assembly, I believe, by 10/1.
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
20	MS. HOFFMANN: So just to give you
21	an update, we have been meeting regularly.
22	We're diligently working on the house bill
23	report, request for the report. We've
24	started initiating, or we have already
25	initiated a drafting process and working on
	112

1 different pieces and parts of the request. 2 We've started gathering data that is 3 necessary to complete the report. And we're 4 trying to strategize on how best to address 5 that acuity-related information they're wanting in House Bill 6. 6 7 Today's waiting list management, if 8 you're -- of course, most of you are probably 9 aware it does not collect all of the exact 10 acuity data that we need to meet that 11 So we're currently figuring out how request. 12 we can leverage other resources that we currently have for Medicaid data on wait 13 14 lists and who is Medicaid enrolled and any 15 acuity factors that we might have and 16 researching other possibilities that we might 17 can gather some quick information from the 18 community that might assist us in making 19 those determinations. 20 And I would just mention, too -- and I 21 feel like you all would probably agree with 22 me. When folks send in their original 23 information, sometimes they need assistance. 24 Like, they don't know what they exactly need. 25 And even if you tell them, for example, in

1 brain injury, that you need a document that 2 says you've got a documented brain injury, 3 they still have difficulty sometimes. And that's where kind of the case 4 5 manager comes in, or whoever the provider is that's been identified, can help with those 6 7 things. So it's not always necessarily on 8 those waiting lists. 9 So as of today, that's currently where 10 we are, that we're trying to figure out how 11 we can address meeting that need, whether 12 that be a survey, a request, you know, those 13 kinds of things, and/or leveraging other 14 Medicaid data that we already have. 15 We have a whole team working on this, 16 and I've asked Jonathan Scott to also help 17 our team with assisting with this task to 18 ensure that we meet all necessary guidelines 19 and requests. 20 Our internal target date is to have this 21 completed by the end -- the end of the third 22 week, which -- so we would have it, like, 23 we're hoping, maybe Monday of that last week 24 of August. And we feel like DMS is on track 25 to have the report delivered to the Interim

1 Joint Committees and Appropriation and 2 Revenue and Health Services by October 1st as 3 outlined in House Bill 6. So they might have questions, but we 4 5 feel like that we're on target to meet that 6 request, Dr. Schuster. 7 CHAIR SCHUSTER: That's great. And 8 just for background, you all may remember 9 that the legislature funded more slots or 10 placements in these home and community-based 11 waivers than we've ever had in one fell 12 So over the two years, they have swoop. 13 funded 1,925 new slots, which are new 14 placements, which is fantastic. 15 But they also put into House Bill 6, 16 which was the budget bill, that the report was due from the cabinet about how that would 17 18 be managed. You can't just dump 1,925 people 19 into the system when you don't have the 20 providers, and you have to be sure that 21 people qualify and have the acuity and have -- are lined up with the right waiver to 22 23 meet their needs. So that's why this is so 24 important. 25 Thank you, Leslie. Do you have some 115

1	waiver waiting list numbers?
2	MS. BICKERS: Leslie, you're muted.
3	MS. HOFFMANN: And my eyes are bad,
4	too, so I'm so sorry. I couldn't, like, hit
5	the mute button there.
6	Sheila, this is the last numbers I have,
7	and I can update those again for you all
8	later. We've got plenty of reporting going
9	on this month. Our HCB waiting list was
10	1,932 with my last numbers. Michelle P is
11	9,244. SCL is 3,550. Last I checked, we had
12	approximately 186 urgent category, and we had
13	3,364 in future planning. And then nobody
14	was in emergency at that time.
15	I'm trying to think if there's anything
16	else you might want to know. You know that a
17	large amount of those folks that are on the
18	waiting list do have current access to state
19	plan services. You already know that.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right.
21	MS. HOFFMANN: And we do have a
22	large percentage of the slots that we
23	allocate of folks not either not taking
24	that slot, unfortunately have passed away,
25	are in another waiver, and/or maybe have
	116

1	moved out of state.
2	So we have this constant rotation, so I
3	get asked a lot and I'm just going to
4	share this. I get asked a lot why we never,
5	like, are at full capacity of what the waiver
6	allows, and it's because we have that
7	constant rotation. And it takes we've
8	been close before.
9	I checked Kathy Litters and I were
10	discussing this. We've come close before to
11	being at full capacity. But when you send
12	out 100 slots, maybe 40 won't decide not
13	to take the slot. Or it's not appropriate
14	for their level you know, not an
15	appropriate level of care or, for whatever
16	reason, they don't take those. And so the
17	next month, then we reallocate the next round
18	plus the ones that are left over from the
19	month before. So it's so very, very fluid.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: What was the date
21	of those numbers, Leslie?
22	MS. HOFFMANN: I think it was the
23	end of last week.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
25	MS. HOFFMANN: I think I did it at
	117

1	the end of last week.
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And were there any
3	waiting for ABI? I know there typically are
4	not.
5	MS. HOFFMANN: We do not have any
6	on ABI at this time.
7	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right. So
8	just to put this in perspective, folks. So
9	we're so excited to get 1,925 slots funded
10	starting July 1st. But if you add up quickly
11	those numbers, that's over 14,000 people that
12	are on waiting lists for waivers, so it gives
13	you some perspective.
14	I was interviewed recently. And I said,
15	you know, it's wonderful that we got 1,925
16	new placements, but we probably had that many
17	or more joining the waiting lists. So we
18	never in fact, we seem to be falling
19	further behind in terms of the waiting list
20	numbers growing. But we've got those slots,
21	and you're going to be able to start putting
22	people in as you get them qualified and so
23	forth so
24	MS. HOFFMANN: Absolutely.
25	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you very
	118

1	much. And just to remind people, the HCB
2	waivers cover our elderly population. They
3	cover kids. They cover people with
4	developmental and intellectual disabilities
5	and physical disabilities primarily.
6	Of course, the ABI waiver is the
7	acquired brain injury waiver, so that's
8	specific to the to that population. And
9	then there is a tiny little waiver for people
10	that are mentally or dependent.
11	So we haven't yet begun to roll out,
12	say, the reentry waiver which will not have
13	slots but will be funded as needed.
14	And then the other one that we're
15	waiting on final approval is our waiver
16	actually, it's not a waiver. It's a State
17	Plan Amendment for people with severe mental
18	illness, and that's the one that Steve and I
19	have been working on for 20 years. So that
20	may take the prize for the longest work time.
21	And we're hoping maybe September; right?
22	MS. HOFFMANN: Yes. And so I did
23	want to I just wanted to mention on the
24	call today that DBH is going to be
25	administering that 19 it's actually
	119

1	called, Sheila the title in the budget is
2	HCBS, SMI, and SUD because we had the
3	housing, homelessness, and the social
4	determinants of health component that we
5	embedded into that.
6	So there's lots of eligibility criteria
7	related to that, but I wanted just to share
8	that that if you see that, folks ask me is
9	that the same one, and that is the 1915(i).
10	So DBH is going to take over
11	administering that program before we have
12	completed a finalizing, approval, and
13	implementation for that. So I just wanted to
14	let you know all you'll be hearing from
15	Ann Hollen is going to be the lead in the
16	Department of Behavioral Health to oversee
17	that so and I don't know if Ann is on. If
18	you'd like to say anything, Ann.
19	MS. HOLLEN: I am. Give me a
20	second. I'm trying to get my video on. I
21	apologize.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: That's all right.
23	Ann. We've known Ann over at DMS for a long
24	time, so now you have a whole number of new
25	initials after your name, Ann. We're
	120

1	delighted Ann has a behavioral health
2	background, which is very helpful as a social
3	worker. And so you're going to be you're
4	at DBH now.
5	MS. HOLLEN: I am, and I am the
6	point of contact for the 1915(i) state plan
7	services. I just wanted to say that these
8	HCBS state plan services will represent
9	advancement in our system of care, and we're
10	committed to ensuring that it effectively
11	reaches the individuals we are all committed
12	to serving.
13	My email address is exactly the same as
14	it's been for the last 16 years.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Good.
16	MS. HOLLEN: So it did not change.
17	I did ask to keep that so
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Great.
19	MS. HOLLEN: So ann.hollen@ky.gov.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you.
21	And I think from time to time, then, we'll
22	have you
23	MS. HOLLEN: Sure.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: come and talk
25	to us at the MAC. You're used to having your
	121

1	DMS hat on and been doing that. So thank you
2	very much for being on, Ann.
3	MS. HOLLEN: Thank you.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: We are super
5	excited.
6	MS. HOLLEN: So am I.
7	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I think the MAC
8	members who have been around for a while know
9	how often I've talked about the need for what
10	we call supported housing for people with
11	severe mental illness. So that typically is
12	supervised residential placement to help
13	people not only have a roof over their head
14	but, more importantly, have the supports that
15	they need to stay on their medications and
16	get to their treatment and really get engaged
17	with the recovery program.
18	So that's our hope. That's the hope of
19	every family who has a loved one with a
20	severe mental illness. So thank you very
21	much, Ann.
22	MS. HOLLEN: Thank you.
23	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And I'll go back
24	up to Veronica Judy-Cecil to talk about
25	unwinding, unwinding that Medicaid and those
	122

1	flexibilities.
2	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Hello again.
3	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Hello again.
4	MS. JUDY-CECIL: I do have a couple
5	of slides just because I know it's sometimes
6	easier to understand the information that
7	way, so I hopefully can see those.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
9	MS. JUDY-CECIL: So just a reminder
10	to folks that what we're talking about here
11	is the Public Health Emergency that ended and
12	required the state Medicaid agencies to
13	restart annual renewals after March 31st,
14	2023. So we have been in what we call
15	unwinding which required us to start those
16	renewals. And our renewals, we started with
17	the month of May in 2023.
18	And so here we are finally through those
19	first what we call the first post-PHE
20	renewal, so folks who have gone through a
21	renewal for the first time since the end of
22	the Public Health Emergency.
23	I wanted to note a couple of things.
24	First of all, May of 2024 was sort of our
25	final month, although we had a couple of
	123

individuals, about eight individuals that trickled into June renewal just as we're wrapping up and identifying that first PHE renewal population. We did have a couple move into June. But, really, May of 2024 was sort of our final big push of renewals.

We are talking primarily adults because, just to remind folks, that we did a flexibility around children to automatically renew them 12 months. So they did not have to go through that renewal. We just granted that extension to them. We did that starting in September last year. So it is primarily adults we are talking about.

Another thing to remind folks is
there -- so now, as of May of 2024, there are
people who came in to Medicaid for the first
time last year going through their renewal.
So just to really confuse things, we've got
folks going through a first renewal that are
new to Medicaid last year and then folks
going through a second renewal that had May
last year as their renewal month and were
considered part of the PHE. So we have PHE
renewals and non-PHE renewals that we're

1 tracking. 2 Also wanted to note, we implemented a 3 lot of flexibilities -- I've talked about them a lot here -- as well as our monthly 4 5 stakeholder meeting about, you know, things such as being able to extend members for a 6 7 month if they didn't respond to a notice that 8 allowed us to conduct additional outreach. 9 Those flexibilities get to continue 10 through June of 2025. We're thrilled by that 11 and mostly because some of those 12 flexibilities have worked very well in 13 helping us maintain coverage for folks going 14 through renewal. And we are wanting to 15 consider implementing some permanently. 16 There are some that are being 17 permanently implemented through the CMS final 18 rules, and so we look forward to 19 incorporating those on a permanent basis 20 going forward. But it does definitely give 21 us additional time to help folks as they are 22 coming out of unwinding. 23 The flexibilities that relate 24 specifically to the home and community-based 25 1915C waivers that we've been talking a lot 125

1 about, those flexibilities, some of them --2 not all, but some were incorporated into 3 amended waivers. And those became effective 4 May 1st. We've done a lot of communication with 5 both the members and their families, 6 7 We've got information out on our providers. 8 website, lots of webinars, frequently asked 9 questions. We've been transitioning those 10 folks really on an individual level because 11 everybody is affected differently. 12 So that transition is happening and just 13 hope, folks, if you have questions about 14 that, try to go out and look at that 15 information. But we do have out there and 16 available the email address and phone number 17 for specific case questions. Happy to help 18 folks on that. 19 We are, as I said, unwinding. And so at 20 some point, this no longer becomes unwinding 21 because we're going to be finishing up those 22 first PHE renewals. But they are in the --23 we have our April, May, and then those eight 24 in June are part -- are still within that 25 90-day reconsideration period.

Just to remind folks, that means if that individual comes back in and provides the information after they were terminated within that 90 days following termination, we can reinstate them automatically. And they don't have to ask for that. It just happens automatically, so we are in that reconsideration period for those months. So we're continuing to track them, and I'll show you that in just a moment.

And CMS, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, had asked states to continue reporting. Even though we're coming out of unwinding and those PHE renewals, they've asked states just to continue reporting regular renewals. So we'll still be providing those reports on our website, our unwinding website.

We're looking to kind of shift to a new website to start providing information as we come out of unwinding, and we'll keep folks updated about that. For example, our stakeholder meeting last week had other agenda items on it other than unwinding as we come out of that period.

So I'm going to show a really confusing -- for those who haven't seen this before, I'm just going to give a high-level overview of what you're seeing. This is out on our website. This presentation will be sent around to the MAC members as well as posted on the MAC website. But these are -- all this information is out on our unwinding website.

And what you're seeing here on the left-hand side is that original CMS monthly report that we had to do from the very beginning of unwinding. It's to report the renewals that were processed in that month. That was due to CMS on the 8th of the following month. All of those original reports are out there.

And then CMS came and asked the states to report on a 90-day period following the renewal month for any activities that happen with pending cases. A pending case is one in which we crossed over that end date, that renewal date. And there was state action that was still required to determine somebody eligible.

1 So if that happened, we put them in a 2 pending status. We granted them continued 3 eligibility until we could act on the renewal 4 and then took the action. Whether they were 5 put in the approval bucket or the termination 6 bucket, CMS wanted states to report that. 7 So what you're seeing in that middle 8 column that says 90-day processing period, 9 it's just going back and reporting what 10 happened with those pending cases within that 11 90-day period. And then on the right-hand 12 side is where those individuals ended up as 13 an updated monthly report to show CMS. 14 So, for example, I'm just going to walk 15 through February. February, we had 93,004 16 individuals that went through renewal. We 17 had 64,789 originally approved. We had 18 10,128 that were terminated, and the majority 19 of those are for not responding. It's called 20 a procedural termination. We sent them a 21 notice, and they did not respond back. Then we had only one case pending at the 22 23 time, so we processed that one case within 24 that 90-day period. And so our updated 25 monthly report showed that that individual

1 was actually approved and put into the approval bucket. So that's what you're going 2 3 to see when you go out and check our website. Looking at the most current past three 4 5 months of renewals -- and, again, I mentioned that we're looking at them and separate them 6 7 out because we're tracking that 90-day reinstatement period a little differently for 8 9 them. The most recent is June. We had 58,959 10 11 individuals. Keep in mind that now we're 12 reporting both PHE and non-PHE renewals. So 13 we're talking about in this number, really, 14 there's only eight renewals that are tied to the PHE. Of those, 41,336 were approved. 15 16 13,187 were terminated, and we had one case 17 pending on June 30th. 18 The extended bucket, I didn't talk about 19 But the extended bucket is that 20 flexibility of the one month or up to three 21 months for long-term care or 1915C waiver 22 members. So if they did not respond by their 23 due date, we could extend them for an 24 additional either one month or up to three 25 That's what that extended column is. months.

1	And then you see on the far right, we're
2	tracking the reinstatements for each month.
3	So already for June, we've had 213 people
4	come back in. They realized they were
5	terminated. They came back in, provided the
6	information, and we determined them eligible.
7	So all this information is as of July 15th.
8	So I tried to keep this short in the
9	interest of time but happy to take any
10	questions that folks might have.
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: That's very
12	helpful, Veronica, as always. So on that
13	very last slide, for the people that got
14	reinstated, what bucket did they come from,
15	or did they come from a number of those
16	different categories?
17	MS. JUDY-CECIL: That's just for
18	the June renewals. So the 213
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
20	MS. JUDY-CECIL: is just people
21	who were terminated at the end of June.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Terminated. Okay.
23	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yeah.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
25	MS. JUDY-CECIL: And they are
	131

1	likely all related to not responding to the
2	notice by June 30th.
3	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Right. Right.
4	0kay.
5	Any questions from any of the MAC
6	members of Veronica?
7	You have an overall and it seems like
8	I've heard this from you. And if you
9	don't basically, within a ballpark, what
10	percentage of our folks are who started
11	out through this renewal, unwinding renewal
12	process are still on Medicaid? Or,
13	conversely, how many of them have we lost
14	off
15	MS. JUDY-CECIL: I know
16	percentages.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: That's fine.
18	Yeah.
19	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yep, yep.
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Percentages, yeah.
21	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Through unwinding.
22	So even up and including June, those eight
23	folks, we've had 73 percent approved, so
24	they've maintained their eligibility. And
25	then for the population that was terminated,
	132

1	you know, over 50 percent of those it's
2	closer to 60 percent of those are for
3	procedural reasons, for not responding to a
4	notice.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. And then I
6	think at the BH TAC meeting, you had some
7	stats about how many have gone on to a
8	Qualified Health Plan.
9	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yes. And I don't
10	have that with me, Dr. Schuster.
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: That's all right.
12	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Sorry. We do
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: It always makes me
14	feel good that they're covered; right?
15	MS. JUDY-CECIL: It is, yes.
16	CHAIR SCHUSTER: It's really
17	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yeah. Go ahead,
18	David.
19	MR. VERRY: A relatively modest
20	amount, around 6,000. So the unknown the
21	great unknown unknown is how many people do
22	not qualify for Medicaid; however, they
23	qualify for employer-sponsored insurance or
24	were on employer-sponsored insurance all
25	along.
	133

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes.
2	MR. VERRY: So about you know,
3	we're at about a 10 percent recovery rate of
4	those who didn't renew and all that
5	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Yeah.
6	MR. VERRY: which puts us kind
7	of on par with the national average. We
8	don't stick out as the greatest, but we're
9	definitely not the worst.
10	You know, the Federal Government did a
11	terrible job on their healthcare.gov because
12	they don't integrate at all. So our folks,
13	you know, are doing better, but it's really
14	kind of unknown how many of them could have
15	come to us and didn't.
16	MS. JUDY-CECIL: We were tracking
17	each month how many had commercial insurance
18	when they terminated, and there was about 40
19	percent that it kind of it kind of
20	doddled between 30 and 40 percent of
21	individuals being terminated that showed have
22	commercial insurance, you know.
23	So we don't know a lot more information
24	about that but and we only tracked
25	comprehensive commercial. So if they just
	134

1	had a dental plan, you know, we didn't count
2	that. It's if it was it was
3	comprehensive.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Well, you
5	all have really done yeoman's work here over
6	these many months to try to reach out to
7	people. And hopefully providers we've
8	talked about this on the MAC, and I know TACs
9	have talked about the importance of providers
10	reminding people if you get some letter or
11	you get some notification, you know, respond
12	to it kind of thing. I know that the
13	connectors and the CHWs and all of us are out
14	there, you know, pitching that so
15	MS. JUDY-CECIL: We do yeah. We
16	do appreciate all of the stakeholders who
17	came on board and teamed up with us. We call
18	them our partners, all of you all. I think
19	we have strengthened our partnership around
20	this, around supporting the member as they
21	navigate renewal and application.
22	And we plan to keep you know, right
23	now, we're reviewing what's worked, what
24	hasn't. And we plan to keep the things that
25	are really working in place as we come out of
	135

1	just going into regular renewals.
2	And, you know, our outreach efforts, the
3	flyers and bulletins and all of the
4	information that's on the unwinding website
5	that providers or anyone advocates,
6	families can pull down and utilize, you
7	know, we're going to continue those efforts.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. That's
9	great.
10	Put in a plug, Veronica, for your
11	monthly stakeholder meeting because I think
12	if people had thought that it was only about
13	unwinding, you all are doing a whole lot more
14	than that now.
15	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Absolutely.
16	Thank you for the opportunity.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
18	MS. JUDY-CECIL: And we are
19	promoting this on our social media, and we
20	do I think we've created the landing page
21	for as we go forward. But we have the
22	third Thursday at 11:00 is when we're holding
23	the stakeholder meetings. And as
24	Dr. Schuster mentioned, it was primarily
25	focused on unwinding, but we've switched and
	136

1	are adding some other what we think are
2	really important Medicaid updates.
3	The final rules. We'll be providing
4	updates on the final rule implementation as
5	we move forward. And it's really an
6	opportunity also, we're asking for
7	feedback on what do you all want to hear in
8	those stakeholder meetings that we can bring
9	on a regular basis.
10	So thank you for that plug.
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Yeah. You
12	had I think you talked about the
13	school-based grant at the last one and a
14	number of things we touch on here. So it's,
15	you know, I think, a really good thing. And
16	those are recorded, and you put the
17	recordings, I think, on your website as well,
18	Veronica.
19	MS. JUDY-CECIL: That's correct.
20	And our PowerPoints.
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
22	MS. JUDY-CECIL: And I think I saw
23	somebody maybe Beth put the link to the
24	registration for the stakeholder meetings, so
25	please distribute that widely.
	137

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. And that's
2	every every month on the third Thursday.
3	MS. JUDY-CECIL: That's correct.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Great.
5	Any other questions for Veronica?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right.
8	Thank you so much. And you'll share your
9	PowerPoint with Erin, please?
10	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Absolutely.
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you.
12	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Thank you all.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right. So
14	we'll turn to the TAC reports. And the first
15	one is and this is not just the
16	prerogative of the chair, but I'm
17	alphabetically the first, is behavioral
18	health.
19	So we met on July 11th. We had a new
20	member, voting member, join us, Misty Agne,
21	from the Brain Injury Alliance of Kentucky.
22	We had a quorum. We had our minutes approved
23	of our May meeting.
24	We had not yet received a response from
25	Medicaid to our May recommendation to the
	138

1 MAC, so we've since received that. But we 2 had not received it at the time of the 3 meeting. 4 We had an absolutely fascinating 5 presentation by Victoria Smith with the 6 Office of Data Analytics, and ODA had 7 undertaken a comparative study of behavioral 8 health rates across a multi-state population. 9 So they compared Kentucky's behavioral health 10 rates for the 30 top services that were 11 billed and compared them with the 8 states 12 that are in the southeast CMS region and then 13 they added Indiana and Ohio as contiguous 14 states. 15 So there were, you know, just a ton of 16 comparisons. We had comparable rates. 17 Kentucky's rates were comparable in three of 18 the states but below the rates that were 19 being paid in eight other states. So I think 20 there's lots of follow-up that might be 21 happening there. 22 We had some specific questions --23 actually, Ms. Smith was delightful. She sent 24 us the report ahead of time, so we had a 25 chance to study it and ask questions and then

1 she incorporated our questions in the presentation. 2 3 And so we're looking now at moving on to kind of a Phase 2 study that will look at 4 5 some additional services being added, and she's looking to the BH TAC for those 6 7 recommendations. 8 Also, some other provider levels. 9 tried to do comparable -- in other words, if 10 it was a physician rate in Kentucky, they 11 were comparing it with the physician rate in 12 If it was a master's level, other states. 13 independently-practicing behavioral health 14 provider, they tried to do that. You know, 15 it's really hard to get comparable licensure 16 categories, so we're looking at some 17 improvement maybe on some of that. 18 They didn't realize -- she said she 19 didn't look at the map and didn't realize 20 that Missouri and Illinois are also 21 contiguous states, so they're going to go 22 back and include them in the comparison. 23 then there were questions about some 24 specifics around populations, age and 25 diagnosis and so forth.

1	So this will be an ongoing issue, but
2	you can imagine the interest. I think we had
3	over 100 people on our Zoom call for that
4	Behavioral Health TAC meeting because rates
5	are, of course, incredibly important to
6	providers.
7	We also had Erica Jones give a verbal
8	report of the school-based mental health
9	services, and I won't go into a lot of detail
10	about that since you heard some of that
11	earlier.
12	We've had an ongoing issue in the
13	behavioral health community with an
14	increasing number of audits by the MCOs. And
15	Jennifer Dudinskie has presented on several
16	occasions to the TAC and has been just very
17	responsive to our questions.
18	So most of these are audits around
19	targeted case management, and it's because
20	there was a corrective plan put in place by
21	CMS and we, after the meeting, got some
22	information about how that started and so
23	forth.
24	But so more recently, she provided
25	information to us about what the slope or the
	141

1	scope looked like of the number of audits
2	that the MCOs were requesting. We asked
3	starting in 2019. They only had data
4	starting in 2021, and it's actually been very
5	consistent.
6	What we're not sure is captured in that
7	is whether there are multiple audits of the
8	same providers by an MCO. So some of those
9	numbers may reflect an audit, but it really
10	may be multiple audits.
11	We had updates about the 1915(i).
12	That's the SMI state plan amendment. The
13	reentry waiver, current waiting lists, mobile
14	crisis, which was not funded by the
15	legislature, and so is not going to be
16	expanding in the Medicaid unwinding.
17	And we had no recommendations for the
18	MAC. For those of you who are interested in
19	the BH TAC, we meet on the third Thursday,
20	and we are changing our meeting time to
21	permanently be from 2:00 to 4:00 in the
22	afternoon.
23	We used to meet 2:00 to 4:00 when the
24	legislature was in session and then we would
25	meet from 1:00 to 3:00 the rest of the
	142

1	months. And we have a our new TAC member
2	had a conflict, so our meetings will be from
3	2:00 to 4:00 going forward.
4	So that's our report and, again, no
5	recommendations. Thank you.
6	How about the Children's Health TAC? Do
7	we have a report? Do you know if they met,
8	Erin?
9	MS. BICKERS: They met. I do not
10	see anyone on, and they did not have any
11	recommendations. They've also moved to a
12	quarterly meeting as well.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
14	The Consumer Rights and Client Needs.
15	And Emily Beauregard, their chair, is out of
16	town. They did meet and had a quorum on July
17	7th, and they have three recommendations.
18	No. 1, that DMS work with DCBS,
19	Department for Community Based Services, and
20	the Office for Vital Statistics to clarify
21	that Kentucky birth certificates should be
22	acquired internally and not require action on
23	the part of the household or the individual.
24	Secondly, that DMS update their, quote,
25	bad address, unquote, policy to move
	143

1	individuals or households that are
2	nonresponsive to requests for information for
3	up to six months or until an updated address
4	is received I'm sorry, not request for
5	information, fee-for-service.
6	And thirdly, that DMS send a letter to
7	providers clarifying their responsibility to
8	offer, coordinate, and provide language
9	access services via a qualified medical
10	interpreter and that providers should
11	communicate the availability of language
12	services to their patients in plain language.
13	And, Erin, you have a copy of those in
14	writing as well from Emily?
15	MS. BICKERS: I do. I want to
16	clarify just to make sure, Veronica. Please
17	correct me if I'm wrong. I believe someone
18	from that TAC has to present them to the MAC
19	to be voted on. Veronica, if that's
20	incorrect, please correct me.
21	MS. JUDY-CECIL: That is what the
22	bylaws call for, Dr. Schuster.
23	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Oh.
24	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Is there somebody
25	from the TAC that is on that could do it
	144

1	on they have to be a TAC member.
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Unless
3	there's somebody from that TAC that happens
4	to be monitoring the MAC meeting, there
5	probably is not. And when Emily emailed us,
6	which was last night, I didn't realize that
7	was the rule, I guess.
8	So that being said, we would have to
9	wait for two months for those recommendations
10	to come to the MAC?
11	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Let us take that
12	back. You've already read you've read all
13	three in; right?
14	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes.
15	MS. JUDY-CECIL: Okay. Let us take
16	that back.
17	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right.
18	Thank you.
19	You know, it might be a good idea for us
20	to pull out those bylaws and kind of relook
21	at those since we're doing a lot of I
22	guess I have a I'll get with Erin and you,
23	Veronica, to make sure we've got the since
24	we're overhauling the MAC and creating the
25	BAC, we probably ought to look at the TAC
	145

1	stuff, too.
2	MS. JUDY-CECIL: I agree with that.
3	I think that gives us a good opportunity.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes. It's been
5	quite a while, as I recall. Beth knows
6	because it happened during her tenure with
7	the MAC that those bylaws were created. So
8	yeah. Thank you for that.
9	The Dental TAC, please.
10	DR. BOBROWSKI: Yes. This is
11	Dr. Bobrowski. We meet quarterly. We have
12	our next meeting August the 9th, so that's
13	just right around the corner. And we will
14	probably have some motions to come out of
15	that meeting. But as of today, there's no
16	motions to bring forward to the MAC.
17	Thank you. That's my report.
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. Thank you,
19	Garth.
20	The Disparity and Equity TAC?
21	MS. BICKERS: I do not see anyone
22	on from there as well. They did meet. They
23	have a new chair. And you were with
24	there, so you know they didn't have any
25	recommendations this meeting.
	146

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Okay.
2	Thank you. Yeah. They met last week, and we
3	had that robust discussion about
4	communication and so forth.
5	How about Emergency Medical Services?
6	MS. BICKERS: Keith is out of town
7	and apologized he cannot be here. They did
8	meet, had a wonderful conversation. No
9	recommendations, per his words.
10	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. All right.
11	Home Health?
12	MS. BICKERS: They meet at the
13	beginning of August. Evan was unable to be
14	here as well as Susan. He emailed me this
15	morning.
16	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
17	Hospital Care?
18	MR. RANALLO: This is Russ Ranallo.
19	We did not have a meeting. Our next meeting
20	is in August.
21	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. So it
22	sounds like we need to be prepared at the
23	September meeting for a whole bunch of TAC
24	reports. Thank you, Russ.
25	MR. RANALLO: You're welcome.
	147

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: IDD, Intellectual
2	and Developmental Disabilities?
3	MS. BICKERS: I am not sure if
4	someone is on for that. We will be voting
5	for a new chair. They also meet at the
6	beginning of August.
7	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. And that's
8	been Rick Christman, but they're going to
9	have a new chair?
10	MS. BICKERS: Yes, ma'am. He is
11	retiring.
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Oh.
13	MS. BICKERS: And so we should vote
14	for a new chair in the next meeting.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. Thank you.
16	Nursing Home Care?
17	MS. BICKERS: They have not had a
18	meeting.
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
20	Nursing Services?
21	MS. BICKERS: I don't see anyone
22	on. They have a meeting coming up in August.
23	They have a draft agenda floating about.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay.
25	Optometry?
	148

1	DR. COMPTON: Steve Compton from
2	the Optometric TAC. We have not met since
3	the last MAC meeting, and we've cancelled our
4	meeting for August. So it will be November
5	before we meet again.
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Is that because
7	you didn't have any pressing issues, Steve?
8	Just curious.
9	DR. COMPTON: Not many. We didn't
10	have a very big agenda so but that's a
11	good thing, I suppose.
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I was going to
13	say, that means that things are going
14	smoothly for you all. That's we'll take
15	that interpretation; right?
16	DR. COMPTON: Well, okay. Yeah.
17	We'll look a little harder for problems,
18	then.
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, I'm not
20	trying to dig up problems.
21	DR. COMPTON: Okay.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: I guess my other
23	thing would be to you know, if you're in a
24	good place, how can you make things better?
25	DR. COMPTON: That's a good point.
	149

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
2	DR. COMPTON: We'll put that on the
3	list.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right.
5	Thank you.
6	DR. COMPTON: All right.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And Steve Shannon
9	who has been chairing this TAC for reentry
10	for years now and finally has something to
11	talk about. So, Steve?
12	MR. SHANNON: All right. So yeah,
13	we met. We got the very similar update from
14	Angela Sparrow. We met on July 11th. We
15	meet every other month two weeks before as
16	Sheila said, typically two weeks before the
17	MAC. But we got the same update.
18	We're all very excited about the
19	progress being made and now to kind of get
20	operational. It was previous to this, it
21	was almost a philosophical discussion. What
22	would happen? What could happen? Now we
23	have some direction.
24	We always appreciate the reports we get
25	from Medicaid at each meeting. We always get
	150

1 MCO updates. They've started looking at --2 you know, the folks that are on call for the 3 MCOs, to how can they partner and interact with folks who are leaving. And they're 4 5 looking at both jails and correctional facilities. They're talking about DJJ now as 6 7 well. 8 So I think we're seeing a lot more 9 information about this reentry issue, and I 10 think we'll see a lot of action moving 11 forward. 12 It was reported by one member that four additional Recovery Ready Communities are 13 14 being identified, and that number continues 15 to grow. And they really look at the 16 community, and this Recovery Ready is really 17 focusing and receptive of people in recovery 18 from substance use disorders. 19 And it's really -- and we discussed this 20 in some detail at our meeting -- a pretty 21 significant change over the last five, seven, 22 ten years where this wasn't even talked 23 about. Now we have communities coming 24 forward and saying, I want to be identified 25 as a community that wants to support people

1	in recovery through vocation or through
2	housing, through access to services.
3	So I think that's worth noting for
4	everyone to understand, that it's clearly a
5	sea change over the last decade.
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah.
7	MR. SHANNON: We had no
8	recommendations, and we meet again on
9	September 12th. Thank you.
10	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right.
11	Thank you, Steve.
12	And I do think that those Garth, you
13	brought up the issue about: What could
14	communities do? Well, here's a program where
15	a community can be certified. I think it's
16	through the Office of Drug Control Policy.
17	Isn't it Van Ingram's
18	MR. SHANNON: They oversee it and
19	actually done by folks I think it's VOA in
20	Louisville, Volunteers of America in
21	Louisville.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Oh, okay.
23	MR. SHANNON: They actually do the
24	survey of the community. And if you're
25	interested, Dr. Bobrowski, we can probably
	152

1	get you connected with Van Ingram or VOA,
2	Volunteers of America, to figure out if your
3	local community where they're at in the
4	process and if they're interested in moving
5	forward. Volunteering, no requirement, but
6	they're up to maybe 16 or so statewide.
7	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. I think
8	that's a really neat thing to be doing. And,
9	certainly, the addiction curse, plague has
10	affected every community.
11	MR. SHANNON: Correct.
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Almost every
13	family across the state. Thank you, Steve.
14	Pharmacy?
15	DR. HANNA: Yes. Good morning.
16	The Pharmacy TAC did not meet, and their next
17	meeting will be on August the 7th at 1:00.
18	Thank you.
19	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you.
20	Physician's?
21	MS. BICKERS: They did not meet in
22	July. Their next meeting, I believe, is
23	September.
24	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. And does
25	Ashima does Dr. Gupta usually make that
	153

1	report or somebody else?
2	MS. BICKERS: Yes, ma'am, she does.
3	And I believe it was October. My math is
4	wrong there. Apologies.
5	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. So they're
6	going to meet in October. All right.
7	Primary Care?
8	DR. MOORE: Good afternoon. The
9	Primary Care TAC met on June 27th. We
10	received a number of updates on similar
11	topics as we've already discussed today.
12	One topic of note that wasn't discussed,
13	we did receive and have conversation about
14	pharmacy reconciliation for 340B pharmacies
15	and came to some agreements with DMS there.
16	We also had representation from DBHDID
17	and had requested representation from DPH as
18	a number of the problems we're working to
19	solve cross over between, you know,
20	healthcare delivery and also public health.
21	So we appreciate that representation.
22	We spent a good portion of our meeting
23	talking specifically about well-child rates
24	and immunization rates as they are key
25	measures for the MCOs and, you know,
	154

1 obviously involve primary care providers as well. 2 3 We discussed some of the challenges related to parents, social determinant 4 5 challenges that prevent people from accessing 6 these services for their children and also, 7 you know, some of the challenges and 8 differences that you receive when you access 9 that service in a retail setting versus in a 10 primary care provider office. 11 We also discussed some of the challenges 12 for providers and also, you know, regulatory 13 limitations so that we could try to work 14 together to solve those. 15 We had two recommendations: One, that 16 in the next contract, the State require that 17 well-child visits be on a calendar year 18 benefit rather than a rolling 12. There's 19 some uncertainty and differences between 20 various MCOs about that coverage limit. 21 And that also, you know, we begin to 22 work with the athletic association about 23 changing some of their forms, you know, 24 immunizations being updated as part of that 25 process as well.

1	Our next meeting will be October 24th.
2	MS. BICKERS: Hi, Stephanie. This
3	is Erin with the Department of Medicaid. Do
4	you mind to email me those recommendations?
5	My notes show that there were none voted on
6	at the last meeting.
7	DR. MOORE: Okay. That Erin, I
8	honestly couldn't read my own notes as well.
9	Like, I remember that we discussed those, but
10	we felt like neither of this would come to
11	play until the next contract period. So we
12	may have just decided to wait on those.
13	MS. BICKERS: Okay. I can go back
14	and review the minutes if you'd like, just to
15	confirm.
16	DR. MOORE: That would be very
17	MS. BICKERS: But if you don't mind
18	to send them in writing so that I have them,
19	that would be wonderful.
20	DR. MOORE: Sure.
21	MS. BICKERS: Thank you.
22	CHAIR SCHUSTER: So the second one
23	was about working with the athletic
24	association I'm sorry, about
25	DR. MOORE: To update the sports
	156

1	physical forms.
2	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. Great.
3	Thank you. Thank you for the report.
4	And last, but not least, certainly the
5	Therapy TAC.
6	MR. LYNN: Thank you, Dr. Schuster.
7	The Therapy TAC met on July 9th, and I we
8	had a light agenda and really have nothing to
9	report to the MAC. And we meet again on
10	September 10th.
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. And no
12	recommendations, then?
13	MR. LYNN: Yes, ma'am. No
14	recommendations.
15	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you.
16	All right. I would entertain a motion
17	from a voting member of the TAC to accept the
18	TAC recommendations and to forward them on to
19	Department for Medicaid Services.
20	MR. GILBERT: So moved.
21	MS. EISNER: This is Nina. I'll
22	make that recommendation.
23	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Nina.
24	MR. GILBERT: And I'll second.
25	DR. BOBROWSKI: Second. Okay.
	157

1	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Second is that
2	you, Kent?
3	MR. GILBERT: I did.
4	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Okay. Thank you.
5	MR. GILBERT: There was a contest.
6	CHAIR SCHUSTER: There was a
7	contest. Yes. I was because I can't see
8	you all so
9	MR. GILBERT: I may have thirded.
10	Instead of seconding, I may have thirded.
11	I'm not sure.
12	CHAIR SCHUSTER: All right.
13	Thank you.
14	All those in favor of accepting the
15	recommendations and forwarding them to DMS,
16	signify by saying aye.
17	(Aye.)
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: And any opposed?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you. We
21	will forward those recommendations and
22	appreciate it.
23	Erin, maybe you and I can I need to
24	get kind of a calendar, particularly as
25	people are moving to quarterly meetings.
	158

1	There might be a better way to not go through						
2	this litany if we know that people haven't						
3	met or something like that.						
4	MS. BICKERS: Yes, ma'am. We can						
5	work that out via email. If you want to have						
6	a quick meeting, I can look at my calendar.						
7	I am out of office next week, but any time						
8	after that, I'm happy to fit you in.						
9	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Thank you.						
10	That would just you know, then people						
11	don't have to sit through this roll call						
12	of so very good. Thank you.						
13	Are there any items of new business that						
14	anyone would like to bring forward at this						
15	time?						
16	MS. ROARK: Yes. This is Peggy						
17	Roark. Can you hear me?						
18	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yes, Peggy. I						
19	know you were late getting here, but we're						
20	glad that you're here.						
21	MS. ROARK: Yes. I'm sorry. I						
22	missed a lot, it sounds like. But I just						
23	wanted to bring it to everyone's attention						
24	about this House Bill 5, about being						
25	homeless. And I encourage people to look and						
	159						

1 I don't know what we can do, but I read. 2 think a lot of people is, like, one paycheck 3 of being homeless. But I was reading through there, and 4 5 it's a whole lot -- like, you know, if they were homeless and they get a fine, then if 6 7 they can afford a fine, they wouldn't be 8 homeless. 9 And so in the meantime, when they go to 10 jail, I think I read it was, like, 40-some 11 dollars a day. In the meantime, they lose 12 employment. They lose their housing. 13 lose their children. It's a pretty scary 14 thing. It's \$44.97 per day. 15 Also, I had spoke to Sheila in the past 16 about how we can reach our population in the 17 doctors' offices. We have some seniors, some 18 older people or mental health or whatever who 19 don't have access to know what their benefits 20 is for Medicaid. Some people don't know how 21 to do emails, texts, or use phones. 22 So I was discussing with Sheila. 23 eastern Kentucky, I reached out to some 24 people that maybe a local radio station or TV 25 station could explain to some people about

1	different benefits for going to the doctor's						
2	office and having a survey of reaching out.						
3	And let's not forget about the folks that						
4	can't speak English.						
5	So I just wanted to bring it to your						
6	attention to see what your thoughts or what						
7	we could do to make this better.						
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you. I						
9	appreciate that, and I did report we had						
10	an earlier item about improving						
11	communication, and I did report to the MAC						
12	that you and I had had an excellent						
13	conversation about that.						
14	And you had been reaching out to people,						
15	and we did talk about doctors' offices. We						
16	also talked about radio and TV and reaching						
17	out to minority communities where English is						
18	not the first language.						
19	So I will send you the list that I kind						
20	of went over, but I had incorporated your						
21	recommendations in that list. So I						
22	appreciate that very much.						
23	The House Bill 5 those of you may						
24	know it as the Keep Kentucky Safe Act was						
25	passed. Great controversy around it because						
	161						

1	it does criminalize homelessness. It does						
2	not allow anyone to sleep even in their own						
3	vehicle on public property.						
4	And the first offense is, as Peggy said,						
5	is punishable by 125-dollar fine, which I						
6	or maybe it's 250. I've forgotten.						
7	MS. ROARK: Yes. 250.						
8	CHAIR SCHUSTER: 250. And I'm						
9	like, you know what? If they had \$250, they						
10	wouldn't be sleeping in their car when it was						
11	five below zero. I mean, let's be real,						
12	folks.						
13	The second fine could end up in						
14	incarceration, which just adds to the fines						
15	and, as Peggy so rightly pointed out, keeps						
16	them from jobs and, you know, just adds						
17	expense and so forth.						
18	There are a lot of people looking at						
19	what to do about our homeless population. I						
20	don't think this is it. We also know that						
21	there's a fair number of people that are						
22	homeless that have behavioral health						
23	disorders.						
24	There is a housing task force that's						
25	going on during the interim session, and I						
	162						

1	will email to you all I don't have a link
2	right now. You can go on the Legislative
3	Research Commission, www.legislature.ky.gov,
4	and look under committees, special
5	committees. And it will have the meeting
6	dates and times of that housing task force.
7	They've met once, and they will meet again, I
8	think, next month in August.
9	But it's an opportunity to communicate
10	with those legislators about your ideas to
11	address homelessness and the housing shortage
12	that we have here in Kentucky.
13	And, Peggy, we'll talk some more about
14	whether there's a particular item to put on a
15	future MAC agenda on that in particular.
16	There certainly housing is certainly one
17	of those social determinants of health or
18	health-related social needs that probably is
19	at the top of the list.
20	I think a lot of our providers on here
21	or a lot of the representatives would say
22	that not having stable housing is a huge
23	problem for the people that they're seeing,
24	whether it's for in Garth's office for
25	dental services or whether it's in Beth's

1	office for primary care or over in Nina's
2	hospital in terms of behavioral health.
3	So I really appreciate your bringing
4	those things up, Peggy, and we will continue
5	that discussion; okay?
6	MS. ROARK: Thank you.
7	There's one more thing. There's
8	parents or guardians with children in
9	juvenile court proceedings require at least
10	one parent to attend court. If they fail to
11	do so, they are subject to fine, \$500 or 40
12	hours of community service.
13	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Was that passed
14	recently? Was that in the last year?
15	MS. ROARK: That house bill creates
16	new penalties for parents or guardians with
17	children in juvenile court proceedings. It
18	requires at least one parent or guardian to
19	attend court with the child. If they fail to
20	do so, become subject to a fine of \$500 or 40
21	hours of community service. That's pretty
22	sad.
23	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Yeah. Well, we'll
24	add that to our list for our next discussion;
25	okay?

1	MS. ROARK: I greatly appreciate					
2	your time.					
3	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Well, we					
4	appreciate your input and your reaching out					
5	to people and looking at these from a you					
6	know, at the ground level perspective. I					
7	think it's very valuable, Peggy, and we					
8	appreciate it.					
9	MS. ROARK: Thank you. Appreciate					
10	you.					
11	CHAIR SCHUSTER: Thank you.					
12	Our next meeting will be Thursday,					
13	September 26th, 9:30 to 12:30. And I've kept					
14	you a few minutes over, but I think we've had					
15	some excellent discussion. And I appreciate					
16	you all being here, the MAC members and the					
17	many, many people I think at one point, we					
18	had 140 people in the participant numbers.					
19	So we're obviously talking about things					
20	that are of importance to people so					
21	appreciate your service very much and hope					
22	that you have a good day and a good weekend					
23	coming up. And we will see you in September.					
24	Thank you.					
25	MR. MARTIN: Thank you all.					
	165					

1		CHA]	IR SCH	USTER	₹:	Yes.	Bye-bye.
2	(Mee	ting	concl	uded	at	12:34	p.m.)
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
			16	66			

1	* * * * * * * * *						
2	CERTIFICATE						
3							
4	I, SHANA SPENCER, Certified						
5	Realtime Reporter and Registered Professional						
6	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing						
7	typewritten pages are a true and accurate transcript						
8	of the proceedings to the best of my ability.						
9							
10	I further certify that I am not employed						
11	by, related to, nor of counsel for any of the parties						
12	herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of						
13	this action.						
14							
15	Dated this 5th day of August, 2024.						
16							
17							
18	/s/ Shana W. Spencer_						
19	Shana Spencer, RPR, CRR						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	167						